

Mnquma Local Municipality Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

General Information

Nature of business and principal activities

Mnquma Local Municipality is a South African Category B Municipality (Local Municipality) as defined by the Municipal Structures Act (Act no. 117 of 1998). The Municipality's operations are governed by:-Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003 - Municipal Structure Act 117 of 1998 - Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 and various other acts and regulations.

Executive committee

Mayor Speaker Chief Whip Exco Councillors

Councillors

Councillor T. Bikitsha
Councillor Z. M. Mnqwazi
Councillor Z Mkiva
Councillor N. Sheleni
Councillor T.P Ntanga
Councillor N. Jiya
Councillor C. Mtsi
Councillor L. Mgandela

Councillor L. K. Ntshebe Councillor N. Skelenge Councillor Z Siyo

Councillor M.E Ntshonga Councillor N. Layiti Councillor N. P. Dube Councillor T. Nkamisa Councillor M. Molosi Councillor Z. Sobekwa Councillor L. Tsipa

Councillor L. Tsipa
Councillor T. Madikane
Councillor Y. Mngonyama
Councillor A.V. Mankune
Councillor N.Tyala

Councillor S. Mahlanza
Councillor N.Q. Sukwana
Councillor L.M. Mtalo
Councillor C.N. Makholwa
Councillor Mnqokoyi
Councillor N. Luwaca

Councillor N.S. Tsetse Councillor Z.Gade Councillor N. Phahlane Councillor A. Nkaule

Councillor E. W. Nyengule Councillor T. Mbelani Councillor M. Buso

Councillor M.D. Mkhwezo

Councillor N. Nqata Councillor Z. Mqolo

Councillor T. Dyani Councillor X. Nkwateni

Councillor N. Njengele

Councillor N.L Zaba Councillor M.S Velaphi

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

General Information

Councillor N. Mbuku

Councillor M. Maggabini

Councillor N. W. Mzimba

Councillor Q. A. Mpande

Councillor V. Bam

Councillor G. Q. Ngqongolo

Councillor M.W. Ntongana

Councillor T. Ntshawuzana

Councillor T. M. Ntisana

Councillor M. Zimba

Councillor Z. D. Solontsi

Councillor C. K. Mpeluza

Councillor Z. Bomela

Councillor M. Nyhontso

Councillor X. C. Doko

Councillor N. Lusizi

Councillor T.H Mpeta

Councillor N.M Mpambani

Councillor N.Ntolosi

Councillor N Thandaphi

Councillor S.L Mafanya

Councillor M.E Ntshonga

Councillor N. Monakali

Councillor N.N Ngolomlilo

Councillor Z Siyo

Councillor AA Krakri

Councillor L.S Sobekwa

Councillor G.N Nombila

Councillor B. Kave

Councillor Z. C. Mfazwe

Councillor TZ Xhongwana

Councillor G. Guqaza

Councillor N. Bomvana

Councillor N.H Kendle

Councillor T. Ntyinkala

Councillor K.G Magwaca

Councillor K.G Magwaca

Councillor N.G Ndongeni

Councillor M. Mkhilili

Councillor T.P Ntanga

Councillor M. Ndungane

Councillor M. Mxhoko

Councillor S. N Tshazi

Councillor W.W Mbadlanyana

Councillor X. L. Pupuma

Councillor Z. Sogayise

Councillor B.M. Ganjana

Councillor N. Thandela

Councillor S. Ncetezo

Councillor N. Njengele

Councillor N. Plaaitjie

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

General Information

Councillor G. Mdudo Councillor N. Sajini

Councillor X.D.V Matanga Councillor S. Matutu Councillor N. R Tshona Councillor S.E Goloza Councillor L. Mbentsula Councillor S. Lilise

Councillor T.C Nkutu Councillor A.B Madikane Councillor V. Nkehle Councillor T. Makeleni

TRADITIONAL LEADERS TO PARTICIPATE IN MNQUMA T. C. Magodia

MUNCIPALITY COUNCIL

B.L Ntleki D.H Ndzimela

P. Nguza

V.L Mbasa

W.M Mahlangeni

N.S Ngxiya

M. Dondolo

Z.M Dyantyi

M. Magodla

N. Nyhila

D. Mpangele

B.B Vuso

M. Nguza

N.V.G Dondashe

S. Mpeta

O. M. Tsipa

M. Mcotama

M. C. Luzipo

V. N. Kona

Grading of local authority Medium Capacity Municipality

Chief Financial Officer (CFO) L. Manjingolo

Accounting Officer Mr Z Plata

Registered office Corner King & Umtata Street

Butterworth

4960

Postal address P.O. Box 36

Butterworth

4960

Bankers First National Bank

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

General Information

Relevant Legislation Municipal Finance Management Act (Act 56 of 2003)

Division of Revenue Act (Act 1 of 2015) Income Tax Act (Act 58 of 1962) Value Added Tax Act (Act 89 of 1991) Municipal Structures Act (Act 117 of 1998) Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000)

Housing Act (Act 107 of 1997)

Municipal Property Rates Act (Act 6 of 2004)

Electricity Act (Act 41 of 1987)

Skills Development Levies Act (Act 9 of 1999) Employment Equity Act (Act 55 of 1998)

Basic Conditions of Employment Act (Act 75 of 1997)

Jurisdiction Mnguma Local Municipality is located in the south-eastern part of the

Eastern Cape province. This Category B Municipality falls under the jurisdiction of the Amathole District Municipality and comprises an amalgamation of the former Butterworth, Ngqamakhwe (previously Ngqamakwe) and Centane Transitional Regional Councils. Mnquma

Local Municipality shares borders with three other local

municipalities: Mbhashe, Intsika Yethu and Great Kei. It also includes

a number of previously administered rural areas.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Index

The reports and statements set out below comprise the Annual Financial Statements presented to the council:

		Page
Accounting Officer's Responsibilities a	and Approval	6
Audit Committee Report		7
Statement of Financial Position		8
Statement of Financial Performance		9
Statement of Changes in Net Assets		10
Cash Flow Statement		11
Statement of Comparison of Budget a	and Actual Amounts	12 - 15
Accounting Policies		16 - 49
COID	Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases	
ODD	0 : 10 1 10	

CRR Capital Replacement Reserve

SA GAAP South African Statements of Generally Accepted Accounting Practice

GRAP Generally Recognised Accounting Practice

GAMAP Generally Accepted Municipal Accounting Practice

HDF Housing Development Fund

IAS International Accounting Standards

IMFO Institute of Municipal Finance Officers

MEC Member of the Executive Council

MFMA Municipal Finance Management Act

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Officer's Responsibilities and Approval

The accounting officer is required by the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act 56 of 2003), to maintain adequate accounting records and is responsible for the content and integrity of the Annual Financial Statements and related financial information included in this report. It is the responsibility of the accounting officer to ensure that the Annual Financial Statements fairly present the state of affairs of the municipality as at the end of the financial year and the results of its operations and cash flows for the period then ended. The external auditors are engaged to express an independent opinion on the Annual Financial Statements and were given unrestricted access to all financial records and related data.

The Annual Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) including any interpretations, guidelines and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board.

The Annual Financial Statements are based upon appropriate accounting policies consistently applied and supported by reasonable and prudent judgements and estimates.

The accounting officer acknowledges that he is ultimately responsible for the system of internal financial control established by the municipality and place considerable importance on maintaining a strong control environment. To enable the accounting officer to meet these responsibilities, the Annual Financial Statements sets standards for internal control aimed at reducing the risk of error or deficit in a cost effective manner. The standards include the proper delegation of responsibilities within a clearly defined framework, effective accounting procedures and adequate segregation of duties to ensure an acceptable level of risk. These controls are monitored throughout the municipality and all employees are required to maintain the highest ethical standards in ensuring the municipality's business is conducted in a manner that in all reasonable circumstances is above reproach. The focus of risk management in the municipality is on identifying, assessing, managing and monitoring all known forms of risk across the municipality. While operating risk cannot be fully eliminated, the municipality endeavours to minimise it by ensuring that appropriate infrastructure, controls, systems and ethical behaviour are applied and managed within predetermined procedures and constraints.

The accounting officer is of the opinion, based on the information and explanations given by management, that the system of internal control provides reasonable assurance that the financial records may be relied on for the preparation of the Annual Financial Statements. However, any system of internal financial control can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance against material misstatement or deficit.

The accounting officer has reviewed the municipality's cash flow forecast for the year to 30 June 2018 and, in the light of this review and the current financial position, he is satisfied that the municipality has access to adequate resources to continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future. The Annual Financial Statements are prepared on the basis that the municipality is a going concern and that the Municipality has neither the intention nor the need to liquidate or curtail materially the scale of the municipality.

Although the accounting officer is primarily responsible for the financial affairs of the municipality, he is supported by the municipality's external auditors.

The external auditors are responsible for independently reviewing and reporting on the municipality's Annual Financial Statements.

The Annual Financial Statements set out on pages 7 to 90, which have been prepared on the going concern basis, were approved by the accounting officer on 31 August 2017 and were signed on its behalf by:

Mr K Clock	
Acting Municipal Manager	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Audit Committee Report

We are pleased to present our report for the financial year ended 30 June 2017.

Audit committee members and attendance

The audit committee consists of the members listed hereunder and should meet at least 4 times per annum as per its approved terms of reference. During the current year two meetings were held.

Name of member	Number of meetings attended
Ms Gaehumelwe, Elizabeth Duitlweleng	2
Mr Sibongile Edward Madyaba	2
Mr Sizwe Mbewu	2
Ms Brenda Mponco	2

Audit committee responsibility

The audit committee reports that it has not complied with its responsibilities arising from section 166(2)(a) of the MFMA. The audit committee also reports that it has adopted appropriate formal terms of reference as its audit committee charter, has regulated its affairs in compliance with this charter and could not discharge all its responsibilities as contained therein. There was no oversight on the functions of the municipality for the entire financial year as no meetings (quarterly) were held and there was no Internal Audit function. The Audit Committee only reviewed the previous year's Annual Report and discussed the Management Letter with the AGSA. Although the Municipality had a Risk Management unit and a Risk committee Chairperson the function also was not fully functional during the year under review

The effectiveness of internal control

We are unable to report on whether the system of internal control over financial reporting for the period under review was efficient and effective or not as we did not have any oversight.

Evaluation of

- reviewed and discussed the unaudited Annual Financial Statements;
- reviewed the annual report;
- reviewed the entities compliance with legal and regulatory provisions;
- reviewed changes in accounting policies and practices

Internal audit

There was no Internal Audit function in the municipality in the entire financial year and therefore audit committee cannot confirm that the risks pertinent to the municipality and its have been addressed through their audits.

Ms G.E.Duitlweleng (Chairperson of the Audit Committee)	
Date:	

Mnquma Local Municipality Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Statement of Financial Position as at 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand	Note(s)	2017	2016
			Restated*
Assets			
Current Assets	0	0.040.454	0.400.704
Inventories	2	9 910 454	9 109 781
Receivables from non-exchange transactions VAT receivable	4 5	26 614 207 6 096 192	3 277 346 10 863 090
Receivables from exchange transactions	3	9 731 176	534 461
Cash and cash equivalents	6	10 228 731	22 252 751
Cash and Cash equivalents	•	62 580 760	46 037 429
	=	02 300 700	40 007 423
Non-Current Assets			
Investment property	7	4 985 152	6 572 432
Property, plant and equipment	8	847 309 796	851 983 680
	•	852 294 948	858 556 112
Non-Current Assets	•	852 294 948	858 556 112
Current Assets		62 580 760	46 037 429
Total Assets	:	914 875 708	904 593 541
Liabilities			
Current Liabilities			
Finance lease obligation	9	94 730	263 119
Payables from exchange transactions	10	38 872 041	24 051 631
Payables from non-exchange	11	2 456 830	1 649 228
Unspent conditional grants and receipts	12	9 483 213	7 658 173
Provisions	14	34 464 237	28 075 717
Bank overdraft	6	-	210 065
		85 371 051	61 907 933
Non-Current Liabilities			
Finance lease obligation	9	<u>-</u>	92 068
Non-Current Liabilities	•	-	92 068
Current Liabilities		85 371 051	61 907 933
Total Liabilities		85 371 051	62 000 001
Assets	•	914 875 708	904 593 541
Liabilities		(85 371 051)	(62 000 001)
Net Assets	:	829 504 657	842 593 540
Reserves			
Revaluation reserve	13	438 218 305	438 218 305
Accumulated surplus	<u>.</u>	391 286 350	404 375 235
Total Net Assets		829 504 655	842 593 540

Mnquma Local Municipality Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Statement of Financial Performance

	Note(s)	2017 2016	Restated*
Revenue		-	
Revenue from exchange transactions	15	4 436 470	3 624 737
Service charges	16	2 459 832	2 847 755
Rental of facilities and equipment	17	6 775 025	5 073 904
Interest on outstanding debtors	18	3 204 814	2 768 727
Income from agency services	21	920 579	1 093 541
Licences and permits	19	675 611	1 144 157
Other income	20	3 817 824	5 335 609
Interest received - investment		22 290 155	21 888 430
Total revenue from exchange transactions			
Revenue from non-exchange transactions			
Taxation revenue			
Property rates	22	18 842 130	19 902 156
Transfer revenue			
Government grants & subsidies	23	287 454 558	320 305 732
Traffic fines		2 540 315	8 522 293
Total revenue from non-exchange transactions		308 837 003	348 730 181
		22 290 155	21 888 430
Total revenue	0.4	308 837 003	348 730 181
	24	331 127 158	370 618 611
Expenditure			
Employee related costs	25	(173 414 281)	(161 104 076)
Remuneration of councillors	26	(22 682 353)	(25 897 543)
Debt Collection costs		(306 628)	
Depreciation and amortisation	30	(59 260 612)	(52 621 917)
Impairment loss	28	8 856 005	(22 919 682)
Finance costs Debt Impairment	27 29	(934 517)	(716 628)
Bad debts written off		(784 327)	(3 335 292)
Collection costs	29	(40 270)	(43 088)
Repairs and maintenance	32	(6 983 808)	(6 461 432)
Bulk purchases	33	(10 237 373)	(9 268 135)
Grants and subsidies expenditure	34	(5 368 240)	(16 875 400)
General expenses	35	(73 258 597)	(75 103 230)
Total expenditure		(344 415 001)	(374 346 423)
Total according		-	
Total expanditure		331 127 158	370 618 611
Total expenditure Operating deficit		(344 415 001) (13 287 843)	(374 346 423)
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets	39	237 695	(3 727 812) (1 074 082)
Revaluation gain	36	-	1 147 630
Actuarial gains/ (losses)	39	(417 397)	145 827
		(179 702)	219 375
Operating surplus/deficit		(179 702)	219 375
Deficit before taxation		(13 467 545)	(3 508 437)
Taxation Deficit for the year		- (13 A67 5A5)	- (3 508 437)
Denote for the year		(13 467 545)	(3 300 437)

Mnquma Local Municipality Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Figures in Rand	Revaluation reserve	Accumulated surplus	Total net assets
Balance at 01 July 2015	439 365 635	407 883 672	847 249 307
Realisation of revaluation surplus	(1 147 751)	-	(1 147 751)
Net income (losses) recognised directly in net assets	(1 147 751)	-	(1 147 751)
Surplus (Deficit) for the year		(3 508 437)	(3 508 437)
Total changes	(1 147 751)	(3 508 437)	(4 656 188)
Restated* Balance at 01 July 2016 Changes in net assets	438 218 305	404 753 895	842 972 200
Surplus (Deficit) for the year	-	(13 467 545)	(13 467 545)
Total changes	-	(13 467 545)	(13 467 545)
Balance at 30 June 2017	438 218 305	391 286 350	829 504 655

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Cash Flow Statement

Figures in Rand	Note(s)	2017	2016 Restated*
Cash flows from operating activities			
Receipts			
Sale of goods and services		40 233 724	12 957 992
Grants		287 454 558	309 484 000
Interest income		3 817 824	5 335 609
		331 506 106	327 777 601
Payments			
Employee costs		(185 898 180)	(150 175 158)
Suppliers		(106 064 252)	(124 513 485)
Finance costs		(45 517)	-
Grant costs - disbursements		(5 472 219)	-
Other cash item		11 333 662	(29 272 764)
		(286 146 506)	(303 961 407)
Total receipts		331 506 106	327 777 601
Total payments		(286 146 506)	(303 961 407)
Net cash flows from operating activities	37	45 359 600	23 816 194
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	8	(56 569 535)	(66 048 036)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment		200 635	-
Movement in investments (incl. Controlled entities, JVs & Assoc)		-	3 336 666
Proceeds from sale of biological assets that form part of an agricultural activity		(417 397)	145 827
Net cash flows from investing activities		(56 786 297)	(62 565 543)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Finance lease payments		(387 258)	(387 258)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(11 813 955)	(39 136 607)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		22 042 686	61 179 293
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	6	10 228 731	22 042 686

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Statement of Financial Performance Revenue Revenue from exchange transactions Service charges Rental of facilities and equipment Interest received received from debtors Income from agency services Licences and permits Other income Interest received - investment Total revenue from exchange transactions Revenue from non-exchange transactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines	Approved budget 4 099 866 2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000 25 724 922	Adjustments	4 099 866 2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883	2 459 832	336 604 (240 429)	Reference
Statement of Financial Performance Revenue Revenue from exchange transactions Service charges Rental of facilities and equipment Interest received received from debtors Income from agency services Licences and permits Other income Interest received - investment Total revenue from exchange transactions Revenue from non-exchange transactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines	4 099 866 2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - - -	2 700 261 4 524 671	4 436 470 2 459 832	336 604 (240 429)	
Revenue Revenue from exchange ransactions Service charges Rental of facilities and equipment interest received received from debtors income from agency services Licences and permits Other income interest received - investment rotal revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Faxation revenue Property rates Fransfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Fraffic fines	4 099 866 2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - - - -	2 700 261 4 524 671	2 459 832	336 604 (240 429)	
Revenue Revenue from exchange ransactions Service charges Rental of facilities and equipment interest received received from debtors income from agency services Licences and permits Other income interest received - investment Total revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines	4 099 866 2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - - -	2 700 261 4 524 671	2 459 832	(240 429)	
Revenue Revenue from exchange ransactions Fervice charges Rental of facilities and equipment interest received received from lebtors income from agency services idences and permits Other income interest received - investment rotal revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Faxation revenue Property rates Fransfer revenue Foovernment grants & subsidies Fraffic fines	4 099 866 2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - - - -	2 700 261 4 524 671	2 459 832	(240 429)	
Revenue from exchange ransactions Service charges Rental of facilities and equipment enterest received received from lebtors encome from agency services ences and permits Other income enterest received - investment enterest entere	2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - - -	2 700 261 4 524 671	2 459 832	(240 429)	
ransactions Service charges Rental of facilities and equipment interest received received from debtors Income from agency services Icences and permits Other income Interest received - investment Total revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines	2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - - - -	2 700 261 4 524 671	2 459 832	(240 429)	
Service charges Rental of facilities and equipment interest received received from debtors income from agency services icences and permits Other income interest received - investment Total revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Taxation revenue Property rates Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines	2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - - -	2 700 261 4 524 671	2 459 832	(240 429)	
Rental of facilities and equipment interest received received from debtors income from agency services dicences and permits. Other income interest received - investment fotal revenue from exchange ransactions. Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Faxation revenue Property rates Fransfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Fraffic fines	2 700 261 4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - -	2 700 261 4 524 671	2 459 832	(240 429)	
nterest received received from debtors ncome from agency services Licences and permits Other income nterest received - investment Total revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines	4 524 671 3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - -	4 524 671		•	
lebtors Income from agency services Licences and permits Other income Interest received - investment Total revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines	3 835 883 919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - -		0 773 023	2 250 354	46.1
ncome from agency services licences and permits Other income Interest received - investment Total revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Bovernment grants & subsidies Traffic fines	919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	- - -	3 925 902		2 230 334	40.1
cicences and permits Other income Interest received - investment Total revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines	919 976 5 144 265 4 500 000	-	0.0:0 00.0	3 204 814	(631 069)	46.2
Other income Interest received - investment Total revenue from exchange Transactions Transactions Transactions Transfer revenue Transfer revenue Transfer frevenue Transfer frevenue Transfer frevenue Transfer frevenue Transfer fines	5 144 265 4 500 000	-	919 976		603	46.3
ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Raxation revenue Property rates Fransfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Fraffic fines	4 500 000		5 144 265		(4 468 654)	46.4
Total revenue from exchange ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines		_	4 500 000		(682 176)	46.5
ransactions Revenue from non-exchange ransactions Faxation revenue Property rates Fransfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Fraffic fines	25 1 2 4 322	<u>-</u> -	25 724 922		(3 434 767)	
ransactions Faxation revenue Property rates Fransfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Fraffic fines		-	23 124 322	22 290 133	(3 434 707)	
ransactions Taxation revenue Property rates Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Traffic fines						
Property rates Fransfer revenue Government grants & subsidies Fraffic fines						
ransfer revenue Sovernment grants & subsidies Traffic fines						
Government grants & subsidies	19 472 102	-	19 472 102	18 842 130	(629 972)	46.6
Government grants & subsidies						
raffic fines	217 033 300	4 863 350	221 896 650	287 454 558	65 557 908	
	2 322 000	-	2 322 000		218 315	46.7
	238 827 402	4 863 350	243 690 752		65 146 251	
exchange transactions	200 021 402	4 000 000	240 000 102	000 001 000		
Fotal revenue from exchange	25 724 922		25 724 922	22 290 155	(3 434 767)	
ransactions'	23 7 24 9 2 2	-	23 724 922	22 290 133	(6 161161)	
	238 827 402	4 863 350	243 690 752	308 837 003	65 146 251	
exchange transactions'						
	264 552 324	4 863 350	269 415 674	331 127 158	61 711 484	
	.,					
	165 411 001)	4 141 308	(161 269 693)	(173 414 281)	(12 144 588)	
Remuneration of councillors	(24 421 029)	2 146 000	(22 275 029)	(22 682 353)	(407 324)	
Collection Cost	-	-	-	(306 628)	(306 628)	46.8
·	106 784 000)	-	(106 784 000)	,	47 523 388	
	(21 980 000)	-	(21 980 000)		30 836 005	
inance costs	(1 200 000)	(105 000)	(1 305 000)		370 483	46.9
Bad debts written off	-	-	-	(784 327)	(784 327)	
Collection costs	-	-	-	(40 270)	(40 270)	
Repairs and maintenance	(7 757 000)	1 264 000	(6 493 000)	, ,	(490 808)	46.10
Bulk Purchases	(7 000 000)	-	(7 000 000)	,	(3 237 373)	46.11
Grants and subsidies	-	-	-	(5 368 240)	(5 368 240)	
expenditure General Expenses	(59 352 000)	(12 309 000)	(71 661 000)	(73 258 597)	(1 597 597)	46.12
=	393 905 030)	(4 862 692)			54 352 721	
	264 552 324	4 863 350	269 415 674		61 711 484	
	2U4 JJZ JZ4		(398 767 722)		54 352 721	
Operating deficit (1	393 905 030)	(4 662 692) 658	(330 101 122)		34 337 77	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Budget on Cash Basis	·			_		
Figures in Rand	Approved budget	Adjustments	3	Actual amounts on comparable basis	Difference between final budget and actual	Reference
Gain on disposal of assets	590 000	-	590 000	237 695	(352 305)	46.13
Actuarial gain/loss	-	<u>-</u>	-	(417 397)	(417 397)	
	590 000	-	590 000	(179 702)	(769 702)	
	(129 352 706)	658	(129 352 048)	(13 287 843)	116 064 205	
	590 000	-	590 000	(179 702)	(769 702)	
Deficit before taxation	(128 762 706)		(128 762 048)	(13 467 545)	115 294 503	
Surplus before taxation	(128 762 706)	658	(128 762 048)	(13 467 545)	115 294 503	
Taxation	-	-	-	-	-	
Actual Amount on Comparable Basis as Presented in the Budget and Actual Comparative Statement	(128 762 706)	658	(128 762 048)	(13 467 545)	115 294 503	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Budget on Cash Basis	<u> </u>	-		·		
Figures in Rand	Approved budget	Adjustments	Final Budget	Actual amounts on comparable basis	Difference between final budget and actual	Reference
						
Statement of Financial Position						
Assets						
Current Assets						
Inventories Receivables from non-exchange	8 333 065 5 194 895	-	8 333 065 5 194 895	0 0 10 10 1	1 577 389 21 419 312	46.14
transactions VAT receivable	_	_	-	6 096 192	6 096 192	46.15
Receivables from exchange transactions	5 441 258	-	5 441 258		4 289 918	
Cash and cash equivalents	41 368 765	-	41 368 765	10 228 731	(31 140 034)	46.16
•	60 337 983		60 337 983	62 580 760	2 242 777	
Non-Current Assets						
Investment property	717 334	-	717 334	4 985 152	4 267 818	46.17
Property, plant and equipment	900 836 821	(3 763 000)	897 073 821	847 309	(49 764 025)	
Intangible assets	135 000	_	135 000	7 90 -	(135 000)	46.18
	901 689 155	(3 763 000)	897 926 155	_	(45 631 207)	
Non-Current Assets	60 337 983	-	60 337 983	02 300 700	2 242 777	
Current Assets	901 689 155	(3 763 000)	897 926 155 958 264 138	002 20 1 0 10	(45 631 207)	
Total Assets	962 027 138	(3 763 000)	930 204 130	914 875 708	(43 388 430)	
Liabilities						
Current Liabilities			400.000		(44.070)	
Finance lease obligation	139 000	-	139 000	94 7 30	(44 270)	46.19
Payables from exchange transactions	46 719 144	-	46 719 144	38 872 041	(7 847 103)	46.20
Payable from non-exchange	-	-	-	2 456 830	2 456 830	46.21
transactions					9 483 213	40.00
Unspent conditional grants and receipts	-	-	-	9 483 213	9 403 213	46.23
Provisions	-	-	-	34 464 237	34 464 237	46.22
•	46 858 144	-	46 858 144	85 371 051	38 512 907	
Non-Current Liabilities	-			=======================================		
Finance lease obligation	363 000	_	363 000	_	(363 000)	46.24
Provisions	7 281 000	-	7 281 000	-	(7 281 000)	
•	7 644 000	-	7 644 000		(7 644 000)	
•	46 858 144	-	46 858 144	85 371 051	38 512 907	
	7 644 000	-	7 644 000		(7 644 000)	
Total Liabilities	54 502 144	- -	54 502 144		30 868 907	
Assets	962 027 138	(3 763 000)	958 264 138	011070700	(43 388 430)	
Liabilities	(54 502 144)		(54 502 144)	(00 01 1 00 1)	(30 868 907)	
Net Assets	907 524 994	(3 763 000)	903 761 994	829 504 657	(74 257 337)	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Budget on Cash Basis						
	Approved budget	Adjustments	Final Budget	Actual amounts on comparable basis	Difference between final budget and	Reference
Figures in Rand					actual	
Net Assets						
Net Assets Attributable to Owners of Controlling Entity						
Reserves Revaluation reserve	443 447 662	-	443 447 662	438 218 305	(5 229 357)	
Accumulated surplus	464 077 559	-	464 077 559	391 286 351	(72 791 208)	
Total Net Assets	907 525 221	-	907 525 221	829 504 656	(78 020 565)	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.Presentation of Annual Financial Statements

The annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP), issued by the Accounting Standards Board in accordance with Section 122(3) of the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act 56 of 2003).

These annual financial statements have been prepared on an accrual basis of accounting and are in accordance with historical cost convention as the basis of measurement, unless specified otherwise. They are presented in South African Rand.

A summary of the significant accounting policies, which have been consistently applied in the preparation of these, are disclosed below.

These accounting policies are consistent with the previous period.

1.1 Presentation currency

These annual financial statements are presented in South African Rand, which is the functional currency of the municipality.

1.2 Going concern assumption

These annual financial statements have been prepared based on the expectation that the municipality will continue to operate as a going concern for at least the next 12 months.

1.3 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty

In preparing the annual financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts represented in the annual financial statements and related disclosures. Use of available information and the application of judgement is inherent in the formation of estimates. Actual results in the future could differ from these estimates which may be material to the annual financial statements. Significant judgements include:

Trade receivables

The municipality assesses its trade receivables for impairment at the end of each reporting period. In determining whether an impairment loss should be recorded in surplus or deficit, the entity makes judgements as to whether there is observable data indicating a measurable decrease in the estimated future cash flows from a financial asset.

The impairment of consumer debtors and other trade receivables exists predominantly due to the possibility that these debts will not be recovered. Receivables are assessed individually and grouped together where applicable at the Statement of Financial Position as financial assets with similar credit risk characteristics and collectively assessed for impairment. In determining this allowance estimates are made about the probability of recovery of the debtors based on their past payment history and risk profile. The Impairment is calculated after grouping all the financial assets of similar nature and risk ratings and by calculating the historical payment ratios for the groupings and by assuming that the future payment ratios will be similar to the historical payment ratios.

In determining the recoverability of receivables from non-exchange and receivables from exchange transactions, the municipality considers any change in the credit quality of the debtor from the date credit was initially granted up to the reporting date. The concentration of credit risk is limited due to the customer base being large and unrelated. Accordingly, the management believes that there is no further credit provision required in excess of the debtors impairment. On debtors an impairment loss is recognised in surplus and deficit when there is objective evidence that it is impaired. The impairment is measured as the difference between the debtors carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate, computed at initial recognition.

Fair value estimation

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.3 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty (continued)

Impairment testing (Cash and non-cash generating units)

The recoverable amounts of cash-generating units and individual assets have been determined based on the higher of value-in-use calculations and fair values less costs to sell. These calculations require the use of estimates and assumptions.

The municipality reviews and tests the carrying value of assets when events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Assets are grouped at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of cash flows of other assets and liabilities. If there are indications that impairment may have occurred, estimates are prepared of expected future cash flows for each group of assets. Expected future cash flows used to determine the value in use of intangible and tangible assets are inherently uncertain and could materially change over time. They are significantly affected by a number of factors.

Provisions

Provisions were raised and management determined an estimate based on the information available.

Useful lives of property plant and equipment and other assets

The municipality's management determines the estimated useful lives and related depreciation charges of property, plant and equipment. This estimate is based on industry norm. This estimate is based on the pattern in which an assets future economic benefits or service potential are expected to be consumed by the municipality.

Post retirement benefits

The present value of the post retirement obligation depends on a number of factors that are determined on an actuarial basis using a number of assumptions. The assumptions used in determining the net cost (income) include the discount rate. Any changes in these assumptions will impact on the carrying amount of post retirement obligations.

The municipality determines the appropriate discount rate at the end of each year. This is the interest rate that should be used to determine the present value of estimated future cash outflows expected to be required to settle the pension obligations. In determining the appropriate discount rate, the municipality considers the interest rates of government bonds that are denominated in the currency in which the benefits will be paid, and that have terms to maturity approximating the terms of the related pension liability.

Other key assumptions for pension obligations are based on current market conditions. Additional information is disclosed ...

Effective interest rate

The municipality used the prime interest rate to discount future cash flows.

1.4 Investment property

Investment property is property (land or a building - or part of a building - or both) held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:

- use in the production or supply of goods or services or for
- administrative purposes, or
- sale in the ordinary course of operations.

Owner-occupied property is property held for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes.

Investment property is recognised as an asset when, it is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential that are associated with the investment property will flow to the municipality, and the cost or fair value of the investment property can be measured reliably.

Investment property is initially recognised at cost. Transaction costs are included in the initial measurement.

Where investment property is acquired through a non-exchange transaction, its cost is its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.4 Investment property (continued)

Costs include costs incurred initially and costs incurred subsequently to add to, or to replace a part of, or service a property. If a replacement part is recognised in the carrying amount of the investment property, the carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognised.

Cost model

Investment property is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses.

Depreciation is provided to write down the cost, less estimated residual value by equal installments over the useful life of the property, which is as follows:

ItemUseful lifeProperty - landindefiniteProperty - buildings5-100 years

Investment property is derecognised on disposal or when the investment property is permanently withdrawn from use and no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its disposal.

Gains or losses arising from the retirement or disposal of investment property is the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount of the asset and is recognised in entity or deficit in the period of retirement or disposal.

Compensation from third parties for investment property that was impaired, lost or given up is recognised in entity or deficit when the compensation becomes receivable.

Property interests held under operating leases are classified and accounted for as investment property in the following circumstances:

When classification is difficult, the criteria used to distinguish investment property from owner-occupied property and from property held for sale in the ordinary course of operations, including the nature or type of properties classified as held for strategic purposes, are as follows:

1.5 Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are tangible non-current assets (including infrastructure assets) that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, rental to others, or for administrative purposes, and are expected to be used during more than one period.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is recognised as an asset when:

- it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the municipality; and
- the cost of the item can be measured reliably.

Property, plant and equipment is initially measured at cost.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is the purchase price and other costs attributable to bring the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Trade discounts and rebates are deducted in arriving at the cost.

Where an asset is acquired through a non-exchange transaction, its cost is its fair value as at date of acquisition.

Where an item of property, plant and equipment is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or monetary assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the asset acquired is initially measured at fair value (the cost). If the acquired item's fair value was not determinable, it's deemed cost is the carrying amount of the asset(s) given up.

When significant components of an item of property, plant and equipment have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of property, plant and equipment.

Costs include costs incurred initially to acquire or construct an item of property, plant and equipment and costs incurred subsequently to add to, replace part of, or service it. If a replacement cost is recognised in the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment, the carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognised.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.5 Property, plant and equipment (continued)

The initial estimate of the costs of dismantling and removing the item and restoring the site on which it is located is also included in the cost of property, plant and equipment, where the entity is obligated to incur such expenditure, and where the obligation arises as a result of acquiring the asset or using it for purposes other than the production of inventories.

Recognition of costs in the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment ceases when the item is in the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

Items such as spare parts, standby equipment and servicing equipment are recognised when they meet the definition of property, plant and equipment.

Major inspection costs which are a condition of continuing use of an item of property, plant and equipment and which meet the recognition criteria above are included as a replacement in the cost of the item of property, plant and equipment. Any remaining inspection costs from the previous inspection are derecognised.

Subsequent measurement

After initial recognition, infrastructure, community assets and operational buildings are measured using the revaluation method. Revaluations are made with sufficient regularity such that the carrying amount does not differ materially from that which would be determined using fair value . When an asset is revalued, any accumulated depreciation at

the date of the revaluation is restated proportionately with the change in the gross carrying amount of the asset so that the carrying amount of the asset after revaluation equals its revalued amount.

Any increase in an asset's carrying amount, as a result of a revaluation, is credited directly to a revaluation surplus. The increase is recognised in surplus or deficit to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same asset previously recognised in surplus or deficit.

Any decrease in an asset's carrying amount, as a result of a revaluation, is recognised in surplus or deficit in the current period. The decrease is debited directly to a revaluation surplus to the extent of any credit balance existing in the revaluation surplus in respect of that asset.

The revaluation surplus in equity relating to a specific item infrastructure, community assets and operational buildings is transferred directly to retained earnings when the asset is derecognised.

Property, plant and equipment are depreciated on the straight line basis over their expected useful lives to their estimated residual value.

The useful lives of items of property, plant and equipment have been assessed as follows:

Item	Depreciation method	Average useful life
Furniture and fixtures	Straight line	3-23 Years
Office equipment	Straight line	3-16 Years
Infrastructure		
 Roads and stormwater 	Straight line	5-100 Years
Community	Straight line	
Buildings		15-60 Years
Recreational Facilities		15-80 Years
 Security 		5 Years
Halls		5-80 Years
 Libraries 		7-60 Years
 Parks and Gardens 		15-80 Years
Other Assets		20-30 Years
Other property, plant and equipment	Straight line	
Buildings	•	30-60 Years
Specialised Vehicles		5-10 Years
Other Vehicles		3-5 Years
Watercraft		5-15 Years
Bins and Containers		3-5 Years
Specialised Plant and Equipment		10-15 Years
Other items of Property Plant and Equipment		5-23 Years
Computer Equipment		2-3 Years
Plant and Machinery		5-31 Years
Landfill Site		20-50 Years

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.5 Property, plant and equipment (continued)

Finance Lease

Motor Vehicles
 Office Egipment
 4-27 Years
 4-27 Years

The depreciable amount of an asset is allocated on a systematic basis over its useful life.

Each part of an item of property, plant and equipment with a cost that is significant in relation to the total cost of the item is depreciated separately.

The depreciation method used reflects the pattern in which the asset's future economic benefits or service potential are expected to be consumed by the municipality. The depreciation method applied to an asset is reviewed at least at each reporting date and, if there has been a significant change in the expected pattern of consumption of the future economic benefits or service potential embodied in the asset, the method is changed to reflect the changed pattern. Such a change is accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate.

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that the municipality expectations about the residual value and the useful life of an asset have changed since the preceding reporting date. If any such indication exists, the municipality revises the expected useful life and/or residual value accordingly. The change is accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate.

The depreciation charge for each period is recognised in entity or deficit unless it is included in the carrying amount of another asset

Items of property, plant and equipment are derecognised when the asset is disposed of or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset.

The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an item of property, plant and equipment is included in entity or deficit when the item is derecognised. The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an item of property, plant and equipment is determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount of the item.

Assets which the municipality holds for rentals to others and subsequently routinely sell as part of the ordinary course of activities, are transferred to inventories when the rentals end and the assets are available-for-sale. Proceeds from sales of these assets are recognised as revenue. All cash flows on these assets are included in cash flows from operating activities in the cash flow statement.

The municipality separately discloses expenditure to repair and maintain property, plant and equipment in the notes to the financial statements (see note).

The municipality discloses relevant information relating to assets under construction or development, in the notes to the financial statements (see note).

1.6 Site restoration and dismantling cost

The municipality has an obligation to dismantle, remove and restore items of property, plant and equipment. Such obligations are referred to as 'decommissioning, restoration and similar liabilities'. The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment includes the initial estimate of the costs of dismantling and removing the item and restoring the site on which it is located, the obligation for which an municipality incurs either when the item is acquired or as a consequence of having used the item during a particular period for purposes other than to produce inventories during that period.

If the related asset is measured using the cost model:

- (a) subject to (b), changes in the liability are added to, or deducted from, the cost of the related asset in the current period;
- (b) if a decrease in the liability exceeds the carrying amount of the asset, the excess is recognised immediately in entity or deficit; and
- (c) if the adjustment results in an addition to the cost of an asset, the municipality considers whether this is an indication that the new carrying amount of the asset may not be fully recoverable. If it is such an indication, the asset is tested for impairment by estimating its recoverable amount or recoverable service amount, and any impairment loss is recognised in accordance with the accounting policy on impairment of cash-generating assets and/or impairment of non-cash-generating assets.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.7 Financial instruments

A financial instrument is any contract that gives rise to a financial asset of one municipality and a financial liability or a residual interest of another municipality.

The amortised cost of a financial asset or financial liability is the amount at which the financial asset or financial liability is measured at initial recognition minus principal repayments, plus or minus the cumulative amortisation using the effective interest method of any difference between that initial amount and the maturity amount, and minus any reduction (directly or through the use of an allowance account) for impairment or uncollectibility.

A concessionary loan is a loan granted to or received by the municipality on terms that are not market related. Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge an obligation.

Currency risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in foreign exchange rates.

Derecognition is the removal of a previously recognised financial asset or financial liability from the municipality's statement of financial position.

A derivative is a financial instrument or other contract with all three of the following characteristics:

Its value changes in response to the change in a specified interest rate, financial instrument price, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, index of prices or rates, credit rating or credit index, or other variable, provided in the case of a non-financial variable that the variable is not specific to a party to the contract (sometimes called the 'underlying'). It requires no initial net investment or an initial net investment that is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts that would be expected to have a similar response to changes in market factors. It is settled at a future date.

The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortised cost of a financial asset or a financial liability (or group of financial assets or financial liabilities) and of allocating the interest income or interest expense over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments or receipts through the expected life of the financial instrument or, when appropriate, a shorter period to the net carrying amount of the financial asset or financial liability. When calculating the effective interest rate, the municipality shall estimate cash flows considering all contractual terms

of the financial instrument (for example, prepayment, call and similar options) but shall not consider future credit losses. The calculation includes all fees and points paid or received between parties to the contract that are an integral part of the effective interest rate (see the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions), transaction costs, and all other premiums or discounts. There is a presumption that the cash flows and the expected life of a group of similar financial instruments can be estimated reliably. However, in those rare cases when it is not possible to reliably estimate the cash flows or the expected life of a financial instrument (or group of financial instruments), the municipality shall use the contractual cash flows over the full contractual term of the financial instrument (or group of financial instruments).

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged, or a liability settled, between knowledgeable willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.7 Investments in associates (continued)

A financial asset is:

- cash:
- -a residual interest of another municipality; or
- a contractual right to:
- receive cash or another financial asset from another municiplity; or
- exchange financial assets or financial liabilities with another municipality under conditions that are potentially favourable to the municipality.

A financial guarantee contract is a contract that requires the issuer to make specified payments to reimburse the holder for a loss it incurs because a specified debtor fails to make payment when due in accordance with the original or modified terms of a debt instrument

A financial liability is any liability that is a contractual obligation to:

- deliver cash or another financial asset to another municipality; or
- exchange financial assets or financial liabilities under conditions that are potentially unfavourable to the municipality Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates.

Liquidity risk is the risk encountered by the municipality in the event of difficulty in meeting obligations associated with financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset.

Loan commitment is a firm commitment to provide credit under pre-specified terms and conditions.

Loans payable are financial liabilities, other than short-term payables on normal credit terms.

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. Market risk comprises three types of risk: currency risk, interest rate risk and other price risk.

Other price risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices (other than those arising from interest rate risk or currency risk), whether those changes are caused by factors specific to the individual financial instrument or its issuer, or factors affecting all similar financial instruments traded in the market.

A financial asset is past due when a counterparty has failed to make a payment when contractually due.

A residual interest is any contract that manifests an interest in the assets of the municipality after deducting all of its liabilities. A residual interest includes contributions from owners, which may be shown as:

- equity instruments or similar forms of unitised capital;
- a formal designation of a transfer of resources (or a class of such transfers) by the parties to the transaction as forming part of an municipality's net assets, either before the contribution occurs or at the time of the contribution; or
- a formal agreement, in relation to the contribution, establishing or increasing an existing financial interest in the net assets of an municipality.

Transaction costs are incremental costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, issue or disposal of a financial asset or financial liability. An incremental cost is one that would not have been incurred if the municipality had not acquired, issued or disposed of the financial instrument.

Financial instruments at amortised cost are non-derivative financial assets or non-derivative financial liabilities that have fixed or determinable payments, excluding those instruments that:

- the municipality designates at fair value at initial recognition; or
- are held for trading.

Financial instruments at cost are investments in residual interests that do not have a quoted market price in an active market, and whose fair value cannot be reliably measured.

Financial instruments at fair value comprise financial assets or financial liabilities that are:

- derivatives
- combined instruments that are designated at fair value;
- instruments held for trading. A financial instrument is held for trading if:
- it is acquired or incurred principally for the purpose of selling or repurchasing it in the near-term; or
- on initial recognition it is part of a portfolio of identified financial instruments that are managed together and for which there is evidence of a recent actual pattern of short term profit-taking;
- non-derivative financial assets or financial liabilities with fixed or determinable payments that are designated at fair value at initial recognition; and
- financial instruments that do not meet the definition of financial instruments at amortised cost or financial instruments at cost.

Classification

The municipality has the following types of financial assets (classes and category) as reflected on the face of the statement of financial position or in the notes thereto:

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.7 Investments in associates (continued)

Financial asset

Classification in terms of GRAP 104

Receivables from exchange transactions Receivables from non-exchange transactions Bank, cash and cash equivalents Financial asset measured at amortised cost Financial asset measured at amortised cost Financial asset measured at amortised cost

The municipality has the following types of financial liabilities (classes and category) as reflected on the face of the statement of financial position or in the notes thereto:

Financial liability

Classification in terms of GRAP 104

Payables from exchange transactions Payables from non-exchange transactions Finance lease Financial liability measured at amortised cost Financial liability measured at amortised cost Financial liability measured at amortised cost

Initial recognition

The municipality recognises a financial asset or a financial liability in its statement of financial position when the municipality becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

The municipality recognises financial assets using trade date accounting.

Subsequent measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities

The municipality measures all financial assets and financial liabilities after initial recognition using the following categories:

- Financial instruments at amortised cost.

All financial assets measured at amortised cost, or cost, are subject to an impairment review.

Fair value measurement considerations

The best evidence of fair value is quoted prices in an active market. If the market for a financial instrument is not active, the municipality establishes fair value by using a valuation technique. The objective of using a valuation technique is to establish what the transaction price would have been on the measurement date in an arm's length exchange motivated by normal operating considerations. Valuation techniques include using recent arm's length market transactions between knowledgeable, willing parties, if available, reference to the current fair value of another instrument that is substantially the same, discounted cash flow analysis and option pricing models. If there is a valuation technique commonly used by market participants to price the instrument and that technique has been demonstrated to provide reliable estimates of prices obtained in actual market transactions, the entity uses that technique. The chosen valuation technique makes maximum use of market inputs and relies as little as possible on entity-specific inputs. It incorporates all factors that market participants would consider in setting a price and is consistent with accepted economic methodologies for pricing financial instruments. Periodically, the municipality calibrates the valuation technique and tests it for validity using prices from any observable current market transactions in the same instrument (i.e. without modification or repackaging) or based on any available observable market data.

The fair value of a financial liability with a demand feature (e.g. a demand deposit) is not less than the amount payable on

The fair value of a financial liability with a demand feature (e.g. a demand deposit) is not less than the amount payable or demand, discounted from the first date that the amount could be required to be paid.

Short-term receivables and payables are not discounted where the initial credit period granted or received is consistent with terms used in the public sector, either through established practices or legislation.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.7 Investments in associates (continued)

Gains and losses

A gain or loss arising from a change in the fair value of a financial asset or financial liability measured at fair value is recognised in surplus or deficit.

For financial assets and financial liabilities measured at amortised cost or cost, a gain or loss is recognised in surplus or deficit when the financial asset or financial liability is derecognised or impaired, or through the amortisation process.

Impairment and uncollectibility of financial assets

The carrying amount of the asset is reduced through the use of an allowance account and the previously recognised impairment loss is reversed by adjusting the allowance account.

Derecognition

Financial assets

The municipality derecognises financial assets using trade date accounting.

The municipality derecognises a financial asset only when:

- the contractual rights to the cash flows from the financial asset expire, are settled or waived;
- the municipality transfers to another party substantially all of the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset: or
- the municipality, despite having retained some significant risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset, has transferred control of the asset to another party and the other party has the practical ability to sell the asset in its entirety to an unrelated third party, and is able to exercise that ability unilaterally and without needing to impose additional restrictions on the transfer. In this case, the municipality:
- derecognise the asset; and
- recognise separately any rights and obligations created or retained in the transfer.

The carrying amounts of the transferred asset are allocated between the rights or obligations retained and those transferred on the basis of their relative fair values at the transfer date. Newly created rights and obligations are measured at their fair values at that date. Any difference between the consideration received and the amounts recognised and derecognised is recognised in surplus or deficit in the period of the transfer.

If the municipality transfers a financial asset in a transfer that qualifies for derecognition in its entirety and retains the right to service the financial asset for a fee, it recognise either a servicing asset or a servicing liability for that servicing contract. If the fee to be received is not expected to compensate the entity adequately for performing the servicing, a servicing liability for the servicing obligation is recognised at its fair value. If the fee to be received is expected to be more than adequate compensation for the servicing, a servicing asset is recognised for the servicing right at an amount determined on the basis of an allocation of the carrying amount of the larger financial asset.

If, as a result of a transfer, a financial asset is derecognised in its entirety but the transfer results in the entity obtaining a new financial asset or assuming a new financial liability, or a servicing liability, the entity recognise the new financial asset, financial liability or servicing liability at fair value.

On derecognition of a financial asset in its entirety, the difference between the carrying amount and the sum of the consideration received is recognised in surplus or deficit.

If the transferred asset is part of a larger financial asset and the part transferred qualifies for derecognition in its entirety, the previous carrying amount of the larger financial asset is allocated between the part that continues to be recognised and the part that is derecognised, based on the relative fair values of those parts, on the date of the transfer. For this purpose, a retained servicing asset is treated as a part that continues to be recognised. The difference between the carrying amount allocated to the part derecognised and the sum of the consideration received for the part derecognised is recognised in surplus or deficit. If a transfer does not result in derecognition because the municipality has retained substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the transferred asset, the municipality continue to recognise the transferred asset in its entirety and recognise a financial liability for the consideration received. In subsequent periods, the municipality recognises any revenue on the transferred asset and any expense incurred on the financial liability. Neither the asset, and the associated liability nor the revenue, and the associated expenses are offset.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.7 Investments in associates (continued)

Financial liabilities

The municipality removes a financial liability (or a part of a financial liability) from its statement of financial position when it is extinguished — i.e. when the obligation specified in the contract is discharged, cancelled, expires or waived. An exchange between an existing borrower and lender of debt instruments with substantially different terms is accounted for as having extinguished the original financial liability and a new financial liability is recognised. Similarly, a substantial modification of the terms of an existing financial liability or a part of it is accounted for as having extinguished the original financial liability and having recognised a new financial liability.

The difference between the carrying amount of a financial liability (or part of a financial liability) extinguished or transferred to another party and the consideration paid, including any non-cash assets transferred or liabilities assumed, is recognised in surplus or deficit. Any liabilities that are waived, forgiven or assumed by another municipality by way of a non-exchange transaction are accounted for in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers).

Presentation

Interest relating to a financial instrument or a component that is a financial liability is recognised as revenue or expense in surplus or deficit.

Losses and gains relating to a financial instrument or a component that is a financial liability is recognised as revenue or expense in surplus or deficit.

A financial asset and a financial liability are only offset and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position when the municipality currently has a legally enforceable right to set off the recognised amounts and intends either to settle on a net basis, or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

In accounting for a transfer of a financial asset that does not qualify for derecognition, the municipality does not offset the transferred asset and the associated liability.

1.8 Leases

A lease is classified as a finance lease if it transfers substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership. A lease is classified as an operating lease if it does not transfer substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership.

When a lease includes both land and buildings elements, the entity assesses the classification of each element separately.

Finance leases - lessee

Finance leases are recognised as assets and liabilities in the statement of financial position at amounts equal to the fair value of the leased property or, if lower, the present value of the minimum lease payments. The corresponding liability to the lessor is included in the statement of financial position as a finance lease obligation.

The discount rate used in calculating the present value of the minimum lease payments is the interest rate implicit in the lease.

Minimum lease payments are apportioned between the finance charge and reduction of the outstanding liability. The finance charge is allocated to each period during the lease term so as to produce a constant periodic rate of on the remaining balance of the liability.

Any contingent rents are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

Operating leases - lessee

Operating lease payments are recognised as an expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The difference between the amounts recognised as an expense and the contractual payments are recognised as an operating lease asset or liability.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.9 Inventories

Inventories are initially measured at cost except where inventories are acquired through a non-exchange transaction, then their costs are their fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Subsequently inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value.

Inventories are measured at the lower of cost and current replacement cost where they are held for;

- distribution at no charge or for a nominal charge; or
- consumption in the production process of goods to be distributed at no charge or for a nominal charge.

Net realisable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of operations less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale, exchange or distribution.

Current replacement cost is the cost the municipality incurs to acquire the asset on the reporting date.

The cost of inventories comprises of all costs of purchase, costs of conversion and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition.

The cost of inventories of items that are not ordinarily interchangeable and goods or services produced and segregated for specific projects is assigned using specific identification of the individual costs.

When inventories are sold, the carrying amounts of those inventories are recognised as an expense in the period in which the related revenue is recognised. If there is no related revenue, the expenses are recognised when the goods are distributed, or related services are rendered. The amount of any write-down of inventories to net realisable value or current replacement cost and all losses of inventories are recognised as an expense in the period the write-down or loss occurs. The amount of any reversal of any write-down of inventories, arising from an increase in net realisable value or current replacement cost, are recognised as a reduction in the amount of inventories recognised as an expense in the period in which the reversal occurs.

1.10 Impairment of cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are assets managed with the objective of generating a commercial return. An asset generates a commercial return when it is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-oriented entity.

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation (amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets managed with the objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Recoverable amount of an asset or a cash-generating unit is the higher its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

- (a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the municipality; or
- (b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the municipality.

Criteria developed by the municipality to distinguish cash-generating assets from non-cash-generating assets are as follow:

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.10 Construction contracts and receivables (continued)

Identification

When the carrying amount of a cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable amount, it is impaired.

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable amount of the asset.

Irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, the municipality also test a cash-generating intangible asset with an indefinite useful life or a cash-generating intangible asset not yet available for use for impairment annually by comparing its carrying amount with its recoverable amount. This impairment test is performed at the same time every year.

Value in use

Value in use of a cash-generating asset is the present value of the estimated future cash flows expected to be derived from the continuing use of an asset and from its disposal at the end of its useful life.

When estimating the value in use of an asset, the municipality estimates the future cash inflows and outflows to be derived from continuing use of the asset and from its ultimate disposal and the municipality applies the appropriate discount rate to those future cash flows.

Basis for estimates of future cash flows

In measuring value in use the municipality:

- base cash flow projections on reasonable and supportable assumptions that represent management's best estimate
 of the range of economic conditions that will exist over the remaining useful life of the asset. Greater weight is given
 to external evidence;
- base cash flow projections on the most recent approved financial budgets/forecasts, but excludes any estimated
 future cash inflows or outflows expected to arise from future restructuring's or from improving or enhancing the
 asset's performance. Projections based on these budgets/forecasts covers a maximum period of five years, unless a
 longer period can be justified; and
- estimate cash flow projections beyond the period covered by the most recent budgets/forecasts by extrapolating the projections based on the budgets/forecasts using a steady or declining growth rate for subsequent years, unless an increasing rate can be justified. This growth rate does not exceed the long-term average growth rate for the products, industries, or country or countries in which the entity operates, or for the market in which the asset is used, unless a higher rate can be justified.

Composition of estimates of future cash flows

Estimates of future cash flows include:

- projections of cash inflows from the continuing use of the asset;
- projections of cash outflows that are necessarily incurred to generate the cash inflows from continuing use of the
 asset (including cash outflows to prepare the asset for use) and can be directly attributed, or allocated on a
 reasonable and consistent basis, to the asset; and
- net cash flows, if any, to be received (or paid) for the disposal of the asset at the end of its useful life.

Estimates of future cash flows exclude:

- cash inflows or outflows from financing activities: and
- income tax receipts or payments.

The estimate of net cash flows to be received (or paid) for the disposal of an asset at the end of its useful life is the amount that the municipality expects to obtain from the disposal of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, after deducting the estimated costs of disposal.

Discount rate

The discount rate is a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money, represented by the current risk-free rate of interest and the risks specific to the asset for which the future cash flow estimates have not been adjusted.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.10 Construction contracts and receivables (continued)

Recognition and measurement (individual asset)

If the recoverable amount of a cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount. This reduction is an impairment loss.

An impairment loss is recognised immediately in entity or deficit.

Any impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the cash-generating asset to which it relates, the municipality recognises a liability only to the extent that is a requirement in the Standard of GRAP.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Cash-generating units

If there is any indication that an asset may be impaired, the recoverable amount is estimated for the individual asset. If it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of the individual asset, the municipality determines the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs (the asset's cash-generating unit).

If an active market exists for the output produced by an asset or group of assets, that asset or group of assets is identified as a cash-generating unit, even if some or all of the output is used internally. If the cash inflows generated by any asset or cash-generating unit are affected by internal transfer pricing, the municipality use management's best estimate of future price(s) that could be achieved in arm's length transactions in estimating:

- the future cash inflows used to determine the asset's or cash-generating unit's value in use; and
- the future cash outflows used to determine the value in use of any other assets or cash-generating units that are affected by the internal transfer pricing.

Cash-generating units are identified consistently from period to period for the same asset or types of assets, unless a change is justified.

The carrying amount of a cash-generating unit is determined on a basis consistent with the way the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit is determined.

An impairment loss is recognised for a cash-generating unit if the recoverable amount of the unit is less than the carrying amount of the unit. The impairment is allocated to reduce the carrying amount of the cash-generating assets of the unit on a pro rata basis, based on the carrying amount of each asset in the unit. These reductions in carrying amounts are treated as impairment losses on individual assets.

In allocating an impairment loss, the entity does not reduce the carrying amount of an asset below the highest of:

- its fair value less costs to sell (if determinable);
- its value in use (if determinable); and
- zero.

The amount of the impairment loss that would otherwise have been allocated to the asset is allocated pro rata to the other cash-generating assets of the unit.

Where a non-cash-generating asset contributes to a cash-generating unit, a proportion of the carrying amount of that non-cash-generating asset is allocated to the carrying amount of the cash-generating unit prior to estimation of the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.10 Construction contracts and receivables (continued)

Reversal of impairment loss

The municipality assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the entity estimates the recoverable amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in entity or deficit.

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit is allocated to the cash-generating assets of the unit pro rata with the carrying amounts of those assets. These increases in carrying amounts are treated as reversals of impairment losses for individual assets. No part of the amount of such a reversal is allocated to a non-cash-generating asset contributing service potential to a cash-generating unit.

In allocating a reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit, the carrying amount of an asset is not increased above the lower of:

- its recoverable amount (if determinable); and
- the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

The amount of the reversal of the impairment loss that would otherwise have been allocated to the asset is allocated pro rata to the other assets of the unit.

1.11 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are assets managed with the objective of generating a commercial return. An asset generates a commercial return when it is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-oriented entity.

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation .

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets managed with the objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Recoverable service amount is the higher of a non-cash-generating asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.11 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets (continued)

Useful life is either:

- (a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the municipality; or
- (b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the municipality.

Criteria developed by the municipality to distinguish non-cash-generating assets from cash-generating assets are as follow: [Specify criteria]

Identification

When the carrying amount of a non-cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable service amount, it is impaired.

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a non-cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable service amount of the asset.

Irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, the entity also test a non-cash-generating intangible asset with an indefinite useful life or a non-cash-generating intangible asset not yet available for use for impairment annually by comparing its carrying amount with its recoverable service amount. This impairment test is performed at the same time every year. If an intangible asset was initially recognised during the current reporting period, that intangible asset was tested for impairment before the end of the current reporting period.

Value in use

Value in use of non-cash-generating assets is the present value of the non-cash-generating assets remaining service potential.

The present value of the remaining service potential of a non-cash-generating assets is determined using the following approaches, the selection depends on the availability of data and nature of the impairment:

Depreciated replacement cost approach

The present value of the remaining service potential of a non-cash-generating asset is determined as the depreciated replacement cost of the asset. The replacement cost of an asset is the cost to replace the asset's gross service potential. This cost is depreciated to reflect the asset in its used condition. An asset may be replaced either through reproduction (replication) of the existing asset or through replacement of its gross service potential. The depreciated replacement cost is measured as the reproduction or replacement cost of the asset, whichever is lower, less accumulated depreciation calculated on the basis of such cost, to reflect the already consumed or expired service potential of the asset.

The replacement cost and reproduction cost of an asset is determined on an "optimised" basis. The rationale is that the municipality would not replace or reproduce the asset with a like asset if the asset to be replaced or reproduced is an overdesigned or overcapacity asset. Overdesigned assets contain features which are unnecessary for the goods or services the asset provides. Overcapacity assets are assets that have a greater capacity than is necessary to meet the demand for goods or services the asset provides. The determination of the replacement cost or reproduction cost of an asset on an optimised basis thus reflects the service potential required of the asset.

Restoration cost approach

Restoration cost is the cost of restoring the service potential of an asset to its pre-impaired level. The present value of the remaining service potential of the asset is determined by subtracting the estimated restoration cost of the asset from the current cost of replacing the remaining service potential of the asset before impairment. The latter cost is determined as the depreciated reproduction or replacement cost of the asset, whichever is lower.

Service units approach

The present value of the remaining service potential of the asset is determined by reducing the current cost of the remaining service potential of the asset before impairment, to conform to the reduced number of service units expected from the asset in its impaired state. The current cost of replacing the remaining service potential of the asset before impairment is determined as the depreciated reproduction or replacement cost of the asset before impairment, whichever is lower.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.11 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets (continued)

Recognition and measurement

If the recoverable service amount of a non-cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable service amount. This reduction is an impairment loss.

An impairment loss is recognised immediately in entity or deficit.

Any impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the non-cash-generating asset to which it relates, the municipality recognises a liability only to the extent that is a requirement in the Standards of GRAP.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Reversal of an impairment loss

The municipality assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable service amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable service amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable service amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a non-cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in entity or deficit.

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Redesignation

The redesignation of assets from a cash-generating asset to a non-cash-generating asset or from a non-cash-generating asset to a cash-generating asset only occur when there is clear evidence that such a redesignation is appropriate.

1.12 Employee benefits

Employee benefits are all forms of consideration given by an entity in exchange for service rendered by employees.

A qualifying insurance policy is an insurance policy issued by an insurer that is not a related party (as defined in the Standard of GRAP on Related Party Disclosures) of the reporting entity, if the proceeds of the policy can be used only to pay or fund employee benefits under a defined benefit plan and are not available to the reporting entity's own creditors (even in liquidation) and cannot be paid to the reporting entity, unless either:

- the proceeds represent surplus assets that are not needed for the policy to meet all the related employee benefit obligations; or
- the proceeds are returned to the reporting entity to reimburse it for employee benefits already paid.

Termination benefits are employee benefits payable as a result of either:

- an entity's decision to terminate an employee's employment before the normal retirement date; or
- an employee's decision to accept voluntary redundancy in exchange for those benefits.

Other long-term employee benefits are employee benefits (other than post-employment benefits and termination benefits) that are not due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.12 Employee benefits (continued)

Vested employee benefits are employee benefits that are not conditional on future employment.

Composite social security programmes are established by legislation and operate as multi-employer plans to provide postemployment benefits as well as to provide benefits that are not consideration in exchange for service rendered by employees.

A constructive obligation is an obligation that derives from an entity's actions where by an established pattern of past practice, published policies or a sufficiently specific current statement, the entity has indicated to other parties that it will accept certain responsibilities and as a result, the entity has created a valid expectation on the part of those other parties that it will discharge those responsibilities.

Short-term employee benefits

Short-term employee benefits are employee benefits (other than termination benefits) that are due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service.

Short-term employee benefits include items such as:

- wages, salaries and social security contributions;
- short-term compensated absences (such as paid annual leave and paid sick leave) where the compensation for the absences is due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related employee service;
- bonus, incentive and performance related payments payable within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service; and
- non-monetary benefits (for example, medical care, and free or subsidised goods or services such as housing, cars and cellphones) for current employees.

When an employee has rendered service to the entity during a reporting period, the entity recognise the undiscounted amount of short-term employee benefits expected to be paid in exchange for that service:

- as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any amount already paid. If the amount already paid exceeds the
 undiscounted amount of the benefits, the entity recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense) to the extent
 that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a cash refund; and
- as an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the benefits in the cost of an asset.

The expected cost of compensated absences is recognised as an expense as the employees render services that increase their entitlement or, in the case of non-accumulating absences, when the absence occurs. The entity measure the expected cost of accumulating compensated absences as the additional amount that the entity expects to pay as a result of the unused entitlement that has accumulated at the reporting date.

The entity recognise the expected cost of bonus, incentive and performance related payments when the entity has a present legal or constructive obligation to make such payments as a result of past events and a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made. A present obligation exists when the entity has no realistic alternative but to make the payments.

Post-employment benefits

Post-employment benefits are employee benefits (other than termination benefits) which are payable after the completion of employment.

Post-employment benefit plans are formal or informal arrangements under which an entity provides post-employment benefits for one or more employees.

Multi-employer plans are defined contribution plans (other than state plans and composite social security programmes) or defined benefit plans (other than state plans) that pool the assets contributed by various entities that are not under common control and use those assets to provide benefits to employees of more than one entity, on the basis that contribution and benefit levels are determined without regard to the identity of the entity that employs the employees concerned.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.12 Employee benefits (continued)

Post-employment benefits: Defined contribution plans

Defined contribution plans are post-employment benefit plans under which an entity pays fixed contributions into a separate entity (a fund) and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the fund does not hold sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods.

When an employee has rendered service to the entity during a reporting period, the entity recognise the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service:

- as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any contribution already paid. If the contribution already paid
 exceeds the contribution due for service before the reporting date, an entity recognise that excess as an asset
 (prepaid expense) to the extent that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a
 cash refund; and
- as an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the contribution in the cost of an asset.

Where contributions to a defined contribution plan do not fall due wholly within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service, they are discounted. The rate used to discount reflects the time value of money. The currency and term of the financial instrument selected to reflect the time value of money is consistent with the currency and estimated term of the obligation.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.12 Employee benefits (continued)

Post-employment benefits: Defined benefit plans

Defined benefit plans are post-employment benefit plans other than defined contribution plans.

Actuarial gains and losses comprise experience adjustments (the effects of differences between the previous actuarial assumptions and what has actually occurred) and the effects of changes in actuarial assumptions. In measuring its defined benefit liability the entity recognise actuarial gains and losses in surplus or deficit in the reporting period in which they occur.

Assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund are assets (other than non-transferable financial instruments issued by the reporting entity) that are held by an entity (a fund) that is legally separate from the reporting entity and exists solely to pay or fund employee benefits and are available to be used only to pay or fund employee benefits, are not available to the reporting entity's own creditors (even in liquidation), and cannot be returned to the reporting entity, unless either:

- the remaining assets of the fund are sufficient to meet all the related employee benefit obligations of the plan or the reporting entity; or
- the assets are returned to the reporting entity to reimburse it for employee benefits already paid.

Current service cost is the increase in the present value of the defined benefit obligation resulting from employee service in the current period.

Interest cost is the increase during a period in the present value of a defined benefit obligation which arises because the benefits are one period closer to settlement.

Past service cost is the change in the present value of the defined benefit obligation for employee service in prior periods, resulting in the current period from the introduction of, or changes to, post-employment benefits or other long-term employee benefits. Past service cost may be either positive (when benefits are introduced or changed so that the present value of the defined benefit obligation increases) or negative (when existing benefits are changed so that the present value of the defined benefit obligation decreases). In measuring its defined benefit liability the entity recognise past service cost as an expense in the reporting period in which the plan is amended.

Plan assets comprise assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund and qualifying insurance policies.

The present value of a defined benefit obligation is the present value, without deducting any plan assets, of expected future payments required to settle the obligation resulting from employee service in the current and prior periods.

The return on plan assets is interest, dividends or similar distributions and other revenue derived from the plan assets, together with realised and unrealised gains or losses on the plan assets, less any costs of administering the plan (other than those included in the actuarial assumptions used to measure the defined benefit obligation) and less any tax payable by the plan itself.

The entity account not only for its legal obligation under the formal terms of a defined benefit plan, but also for any constructive obligation that arises from the entity's informal practices. Informal practices give rise to a constructive obligation where the entity has no realistic alternative but to pay employee benefits. An example of a constructive obligation is where a change in the entity's informal practices would cause unacceptable damage to its relationship with employees.

The amount recognised as a defined benefit liability is the net total of the following amounts:

- the present value of the defined benefit obligation at the reporting date;
- minus the fair value at the reporting date of plan assets (if any) out of which the obligations are to be settled directly;
- plus any liability that may arise as a result of a minimum funding requirement

The amount determined as a defined benefit liability may be negative (an asset). The entity measure the resulting asset at the lower of:

- · the amount determined above; and
- the present value of any economic benefits available in the form of refunds from the plan or reductions in future
 contributions to the plan. The present value of these economic benefits is determined using a discount rate which
 reflects the time value of money.

Any adjustments arising from the limit above is recognised in entity or deficit.

The entity determine the present value of defined benefit obligations and the fair value of any plan assets with sufficient regularity such that the amounts recognised in the do not differ materially from the amounts that would be determined at the reporting date.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.12 Employee benefits (continued)

The entity recognises the net total of the following amounts in entity or deficit, except to the extent that another Standard requires or permits their inclusion in the cost of an asset:

- current service cost;
- interest cost;
- the expected return on any plan assets and on any reimbursement rights;
- actuarial gains and losses;
- past service cost;
- the effect of any curtailments or settlements; and
- the effect of applying the limit on a defined benefit asset (negative defined benefit liability).

The entity uses the Projected Unit Credit Method to determine the present value of its defined benefit obligations and the related current service cost and, where applicable, past service cost. The Projected Unit Credit Method (sometimes known as the accrued benefit method pro-rated on service or as the benefit/years of service method) sees each period of service as giving rise to an additional unit of benefit entitlement and measures each unit separately to build up the final obligation.

In determining the present value of its defined benefit obligations and the related current service cost and, where applicable, past service cost, an entity shall attribute benefit to periods of service under the plan's benefit formula. However, if an employee's service in later years will lead to a materially higher level of benefit than in earlier years, an entity shall attribute benefit on a straight-line basis from:

- the date when service by the employee first leads to benefits under the plan (whether or not the benefits are conditional on further service); until
- the date when further service by the employee will lead to no material amount of further benefits under the plan, other than from further salary increases.

Actuarial valuations are conducted on an annual basis by independent actuaries separately for each plan. The results of the valuation are updated for any material transactions and other material changes in circumstances (including changes in market prices and interest rates) up to the reporting date.

The entity recognises gains or losses on the curtailment or settlement of a defined benefit plan when the curtailment or settlement occurs. The gain or loss on a curtailment or settlement comprises:

- any resulting change in the present value of the defined benefit obligation; and
- any resulting change in the fair value of the plan assets.

Before determining the effect of a curtailment or settlement, the entity re-measure the obligation (and the related plan assets, if any) using current actuarial assumptions (including current market interest rates and other current market prices).

When it is virtually certain that another party will reimburse some or all of the expenditure required to settle a defined benefit obligation, the right to reimbursement is recognised as a separate asset. The asset is measured at fair value. In all other respects, the asset is treated in the same way as plan assets. In surplus or deficit, the expense relating to a defined benefit plan is [OR is not] presented as the net of the amount recognised for a reimbursement.

The entity offsets an asset relating to one plan against a liability relating to another plan when the entity has a legally enforceable right to use a entity in one plan to settle obligations under the other plan and intends either to settle the obligations on a net basis, or to realise the surplus in one plan and settle its obligation under the other plan simultaneously.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.12 Employee benefits (continued)

Actuarial assumptions

Actuarial assumptions are unbiased and mutually compatible.

Financial assumptions are based on market expectations, at the reporting date, for the period over which the obligations are to be settled.

The rate used to discount post-employment benefit obligations (both funded and unfunded) reflect the time value of money. The currency and term of the financial instrument selected to reflect the time value of money is consistent with the currency and estimated term of the post-employment benefit obligations.

Post-employment benefit obligations are measured on a basis that reflects:

- estimated future salary increases;
- the benefits set out in the terms of the plan (or resulting from any constructive obligation that goes beyond those terms) at the reporting date; and
- estimated future changes in the level of any state benefits that affect the benefits payable under a defined benefit plan, if, and only if, either:
- those changes were enacted before the reporting date; or
- past history, or other reliable evidence, indicates that those state benefits will change in some predictable manner, for example, in line with future changes in general price levels or general salary levels.

Assumptions about medical costs take account of estimated future changes in the cost of medical services, resulting from both inflation and specific changes in medical costs.

Employee benefits are all forms of consideration given by the municipality in exchange for service rendered by employees. A qualifying insurance policy is an insurance policy issued by an insurer that is not a related party (as defined in the Standard of GRAP on Related Party Disclosures) of the reporting municipality if the proceeds of the policy can be used only to pay or fund employee benefits under a defined benefit plan and are not available to the reporting municipality's own creditors (even in liquidation) and cannot be paid to the reporting municipality, unless either:

- the proceeds represent surplus assets that are not needed for the policy to meet all the related employee benefit obligations; or
- the proceeds are returned to the reporting municipality to reimburse it for employee benefits already paid.

Termination benefits are employee benefits payable as a result of either:

- the municipality's decision to terminate an employee's employment before the normal retirement date; or
- an employee's decision to accept voluntary redundancy in exchange for those benefits.

Other long-term employee benefits are employee benefits (other than post-employment benefits and termination benefits) that are not due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service.

Vested employee benefits are employee benefits that are not conditional on future employment.

Composite social security programmes are established by legislation and operate as multi-employer plans to provide postemployment benefits as well as to provide benefits that are not consideration in exchange for service rendered by employees.

A constructive obligation is an obligation that derives from the municipality 's actions where by an established pattern of past practice, published policies or a sufficiently specific current statement, the municipality has indicated to other parties that it will accept certain responsibilities and as a result, the municipality has created a valid expectation on the part of those other parties that it will discharge those responsibilities.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.12 Employee benefits (continued)

Short-term employee benefits

Short-term employee benefits are employee benefits (other than termination benefits) that are due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service. Short-term employee benefits include items such as:

- wages, salaries and social security contributions;
- short-term compensated absences (such as paid annual leave and paid sick leave) where the compensation for the absences is due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related employee service:
- bonus, incentive and performance related payments payable within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service; and
- non-monetary benefits (for example, medical care, and free or subsidised goods or services such as housing, cars and cellphones) for current employees.

When an employee has rendered service to the entity during a reporting period, the entity recognise the undiscounted amount of short-term employee benefits expected to be paid in exchange for that service:

- as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any amount already paid. If the amount already paid exceeds the undiscounted amount of the benefits, the municipality recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense) to the extent that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a cash refund; and
- as an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the benefits in the cost of an asset. The expected cost of compensated absences is recognised as an expense as the employees render services that increase their entitlement or, in the case of non-accumulating absences, when the absence occurs. The municipality measure the expected cost of accumulating compensated absences as the additional amount that the entity expects to pay as a result of the unused entitlement that has accumulated at the reporting date.

The entity recognise the expected cost of bonus, incentive and performance related payments when the municipality has a present legal or constructive obligation to make such payments as a result of past events and a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made. A present obligation exists when the entity has no realistic alternative but to make the payments.

Post-employment benefits

Post-employment benefits are employee benefits (other than termination benefits) which are payable after the completion of employment.

Post-employment benefit plans are formal or informal arrangements under which an entity provides post-employment benefits for one or more employees.

Multi-employer plans are defined contribution plans (other than state plans and composite social security programmes) or defined benefit plans (other than state plans) that pool the assets contributed by various entities that are not under common control and use those assets to provide benefits to employees of more than one entity, on the basis that contribution and benefit levels are determined without regard to the identity of the entity that employs the employees concerned.

Post-employment benefits: Defined contribution plans

Defined contribution plans are post-employment benefit plans under which the municipality pays fixed contributions into a separate municipality (a fund) and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the fund does not hold sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods. When an employee has rendered service to the municipality during a reporting period, the municipality recognise the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service:

- as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any contribution already paid. If the contribution already paid exceeds the contribution due for service before the reporting date, the municipality recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense) to the extent that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a cash refund; and
- as an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the contribution in the cost of an asset. Where contributions to a defined contribution plan do not fall due wholly within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service, they are discounted. The rate used to discount reflects the time value of money. The currency and term of the financial instrument selected to reflect the time value of money is consistent with the currency and estimated term of the obligation.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.12 Employee benefits (continued)

Actuarial assumptions

Actuarial assumptions are unbiased and mutually compatible.

Financial assumptions are based on market expectations, at the reporting date, for the period over which the obligations are to be settled.

The rate used to discount post-employment benefit obligations (both funded and unfunded) reflect the time value of money. The currency and term of the financial instrument selected to reflect the time value of money is consistent with the currency and estimated term of the post-employment benefit obligations. Post-employment benefit obligations are measured on a basis that reflects:

- estimated future salary increases;
- the benefits set out in the terms of the plan (or resulting from any constructive obligation that goes beyond those terms) at the reporting date; and
- estimated future changes in the level of any state benefits that affect the benefits payable under a defined benefit plan, if, and only if, either:
- those changes were enacted before the reporting date; or
- past history, or other reliable evidence, indicates that those state benefits will change in some predictable manner, for example, in line with future changes in general price levels or general salary levels.

Assumptions about medical costs take account of estimated future changes in the cost of medical services, resulting from both inflation and specific changes in medical costs.

1.13 Provisions and contingencies

Provisions are recognised when:

- the municipality has a present obligation as a result of a past event;
- it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation; and
- a reliable estimate can be made of the obligation.

The amount of a provision is the best estimate of the expenditure expected to be required to settle the present obligation at the reporting date.

Where the effect of time value of money is material, the amount of a provision is the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the obligation.

The discount rate is a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability.

Where some or all of the expenditure required to settle a provision is expected to be reimbursed by another party, the reimbursement is recognised when, and only when, it is virtually certain that reimbursement will be received if the municipality settles the obligation. The reimbursement is treated as a separate asset. The amount recognised for the reimbursement does not exceed the amount of the provision.

Provisions are reviewed at each reporting date and adjusted to reflect the current best estimate. Provisions are reversed if it is no longer probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required, to settle the obligation.

Where discounting is used, the carrying amount of a provision increases in each period to reflect the passage of time. This increase is recognised as an interest expense.

A provision is used only for expenditures for which the provision was originally recognised.

Provisions are not recognised for future operating deficits.

If an entity has a contract that is onerous, the present obligation (net of recoveries) under the contract is recognised and measured as a provision.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.13 Provisions and contingencies (continued)

A constructive obligation to restructure arises only when an entity:

- has a detailed formal plan for the restructuring, identifying at least:
 - the activity/operating unit or part of a activity/operating unit concerned;
 - the principal locations affected;
 - the location, function, and approximate number of employees who will be compensated for services being terminated;
 - the expenditures that will be undertaken; and
 - when the plan will be implemented; and
- has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that
 plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.

A restructuring provision includes only the direct expenditures arising from the restructuring, which are those that are both:

- necessarily entailed by the restructuring; and
- not associated with the ongoing activities of the municipality

No obligation arises as a consequence of the sale or transfer of an operation until the municipality is committed to the sale or transfer, that is, there is a binding arrangement.

After their initial recognition contingent liabilities recognised in entity combinations that are recognised separately are subsequently measured at the higher of:

- the amount that would be recognised as a provision; and
- the amount initially recognised less cumulative amortisation.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.13 Provisions and contingencies (continued)

Decommissioning, restoration and similar liability

Changes in the measurement of an existing decommissioning, restoration and similar liability that result from changes in the estimated timing or amount of the outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential required to settle the obligation, or a change in the discount rate, is accounted for as follows:

If the related asset is measured using the cost model:

- changes in the liability is added to, or deducted from, the cost of the related asset in the current period.
- the amount deducted from the cost of the asset does not exceed its carrying amount. If a decrease in the liability exceeds the carrying amount of the asset, the excess is recognised immediately in entity or deficit.
- if the adjustment results in an addition to the cost of an asset, the entity consider whether this is an indication that the new carrying amount of the asset may not be fully recoverable. If there is such an indication, the entity test the asset for impairment by estimating its recoverable amount or recoverable service amount, and account for any impairment loss, in accordance with the accounting policy on impairment of assets as described in accounting policy 1.10 and 1.11.

If the related asset is measured using the revaluation model:

- changes in the liability alter the revaluation entity or deficit previously recognised on that asset, so that:
 - a decrease in the liability is credited directly to revaluation surplus in net assets, except that it is recognised in entity or deficit to the extent that it reverses a revaluation deficit on the asset that was previously recognised in entity or deficit; and
 - an increase in the liability is recognised in entity or deficit, except that it is debited directly to revaluation surplus in net assets to the extent of any credit balance existing in the revaluation surplus in respect of that asset;
- in the event that a decrease in the liability exceeds the carrying amount that would have been recognised had the asset been carried under the cost model, the excess is recognised immediately in entity or deficit;
- a change in the liability is an indication that the asset may have to be revalued in order to ensure that the carrying
 amount does not differ materially from that which would be determined using fair value at the reporting date. Any
 such revaluation is taken into account in determining the amounts to be taken to entity or deficit and net assets. If a
 revaluation is necessary, all assets of that class is revalued; and
- the Standard of GRAP on Presentation of Financial Statements requires disclosure on the face of the statement of changes in net assets of each item of revenue or expense that is recognised directly in net assets. In complying with this requirement, the change in the revaluation surplus arising from a change in the liability is separately identified and disclosed as such.

The adjusted depreciable amount of the asset is depreciated over its useful life. Therefore, once the related asset has reached the end of its useful life, all subsequent changes in the liability is recognised in entity or deficit as they occur. This applies under both the cost model and the revaluation model.

The periodic unwinding of the discount is recognised in entity or deficit as a finance cost as it occurs.

1.14 Commitments

Items are classified as commitments when the municipality has contractual future capital commitments to future transactions that will normally result in the outflow of cash as well as commitments relating to operating leases.

Disclosures are required in respect of unrecognised contractual commitments.

Commitments for which disclosure is necessary to achieve a fair presentation should be disclosed in a note to the financial statements, if both the following criteria are met:

- Contracts should be non-cancellable or only cancellable at significant cost and
- Contracts should relate to something other than the routine, steady, state business of the entity therefore salary
 commitments relating to employment contracts or social security benefit commitments are excluded.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.15 Revenue from exchange transactions

Revenue is the gross inflow of economic benefits or service potential during the reporting period when those inflows result in an increase in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

An exchange transaction is one in which the municipality receives assets or services, or has liabilities extinguished, and directly gives approximately equal value (primarily in the form of goods, services or use of assets) to the other party in exchange.

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged, or a liability settled, between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

Measurement

Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable, net of trade discounts and volume rebates.

Sale of goods

Revenue from the sale of goods is recognised when all the following conditions have been satisfied:

- the municipality has transferred to the purchaser the significant risks and rewards of ownership of the goods;
- the municipality retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor effective control over the goods sold;
- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality; and
- the costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

Rendering of services

When the outcome of a transaction involving the rendering of services can be estimated reliably, revenue associated with the transaction is recognised by reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. The outcome of a transaction can be estimated reliably when all the following conditions are satisfied:

- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality;
- the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date can be measured reliably; and
- the costs incurred for the transaction and the costs to complete the transaction can be measured reliably.

When services are performed by an indeterminate number of acts over a specified time frame, revenue is recognised on a straight line basis over the specified time frame unless there is evidence that some other method better represents the stage of completion. When a specific act is much more significant than any other acts, the recognition of revenue is postponed until the significant act is executed.

When the outcome of the transaction involving the rendering of services cannot be estimated reliably, revenue is recognised only to the extent of the expenses recognised that are recoverable.

Service revenue is recognised by reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. Stage of completion is determined by surveys of work performed.

Investment income

Investment income is recognised on a time-proportion basis using the effective interest method.

1.16 Revenue from non-exchange transactions

Revenue comprises gross inflows of economic benefits or service potential received and receivable by an municipality, which represents an increase in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

Conditions on transferred assets are stipulations that specify that the future economic benefits or service potential embodied in the asset is required to be consumed by the recipient as specified or future economic benefits or service potential must be returned to the transferor.

Control of an asset arise when the municipality can use or otherwise benefit from the asset in pursuit of its objectives and can exclude or otherwise regulate the access of others to that benefit.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.16 Revenue from non-exchange transactions (continued)

Exchange transactions are transactions in which one entity receives assets or services, or has liabilities extinguished, and directly gives approximately equal value (primarily in the form of cash, goods, services, or use of assets) to another entity in exchange.

Expenses paid through the tax system are amounts that are available to beneficiaries regardless of whether or not they pay taxes.

Fines are economic benefits or service potential received or receivable by entities, as determined by a court or other law enforcement body, as a consequence of the breach of laws or regulations.

Non-exchange transactions are transactions that are not exchange transactions. In a non-exchange transaction, an municipality either receives value from another municipality without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange, or gives value to another municipality without directly receiving approximately equal value in exchange.

Restrictions on transferred assets are stipulations that limit or direct the purposes for which a transferred asset may be used, but do not specify that future economic benefits or service potential is required to be returned to the transferor if not deployed as specified.

Stipulations on transferred assets are terms in laws or regulation, or a binding arrangement, imposed upon the use of a transferred asset by entities external to the reporting municipality.

Tax expenditures are preferential provisions of the tax law that provide certain taxpayers with concessions that are not available to others.

The taxable event is the event that the government, legislature or other authority has determined will be subject to taxation.

Taxes are economic benefits or service potential compulsorily paid or payable to entities, in accordance with laws and or regulations, established to provide revenue to government. Taxes do not include fines or other penalties imposed for breaches of the law.

Transfers are inflows of future economic benefits or service potential from non-exchange transactions, other than taxes.

Recognition

An inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset is recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is also recognised in respect of the same inflow.

As the municipality satisfies a present obligation recognised as a liability in respect of an inflow of resources from a nonexchange

transaction recognised as an asset, it reduces the carrying amount of the liability recognised and recognises an amount

of revenue equal to that reduction.

Measurement

Revenue from a non-exchange transaction is measured at the amount of the increase in net assets recognised by the municipality.

When, as a result of a non-exchange transaction, the municipality recognises an asset, it also recognises revenue equivalent to the amount of the asset measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition, unless it is also required to recognise a liability. Where a liability is required to be recognised it will be measured as the best estimate of the amount required to settle the obligation at the reporting date, and the amount of the increase in net assets, if any, recognised as revenue. When a liability is subsequently reduced, because the taxable event occurs or a condition is satisfied, the amount of the reduction in the liability is recognised as revenue.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.16 Revenue from non-exchange transactions (continued)

Taxes

The municipality recognises an asset in respect of taxes when the taxable event occurs and the asset recognition criteria are met

Resources arising from taxes satisfy the definition of an asset when the municipality controls the resources as a result of a past event (the taxable event) and expects to receive future economic benefits or service potential from those resources. Resources arising from taxes satisfy the criteria for recognition as an asset when it is probable that the inflow of resources will occur and their fair value can be reliably measured.

The municipality analyses the taxation laws to determine what the taxable events are for the various taxes levied.

The taxable event for property tax is the passing of the date on which the tax is levied, or the period for which the tax is levied, if the tax is levied on a periodic basis.

Taxation revenue is determined at a gross amount. It is not reduced for expenses paid through the tax system.

Transfers

The municipality recognises an asset in respect of transfers when the transferred resources meet the definition of an asset and satisfy the criteria for recognition as an asset.

Transferred assets are measured at their fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Debt forgiveness and assumption of liabilities

The municipality recognise revenue in respect of debt forgiveness when the former debt no longer meets the definition of a liability or satisfies the criteria for recognition as a liability, provided that the debt forgiveness does not satisfy the definition of a contribution from owners.

Revenue arising from debt forgiveness is measured at the carrying amount of debt forgiven.

Fines

Fines are recognised as revenue when the receivable meets the definition of an asset and satisfies the criteria for recognition as an asset.

Assets arising from fines are measured at the best estimate of the inflow of resources to the municipality. Where the municipality collects fines in the capacity of an agent, the fine will not be revenue of the collecting entity.

Where settlement discount or reductions in the amount payable are offered, the municipality considers past history in assessing the likelihood of these discount or reductions being taken up by debtors.

Gifts and donations, including goods in-kind

Gifts and donations, including goods in kind, are recognised as assets and revenue when it is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential will flow to the municipality and the fair value of the assets can be measured reliably.

Unspent conditional grants

Conditional government grants are subject to specific conditions. If these specific conditions are not met, the monies received are repayable.

Unspent conditional grants are financial liabilities that are separately reflected on the Statement of Financial Position. They represent unspent government grants, subsidies and contributions from the public.

This liability always has to be cash-backed. The following provisions are set for the creation and utilisation of this creditor:

- Unspent conditional grants are recognised as a liability when the grant is received.
- When grant conditions are met an amount equal to the conditions met are transferred to revenue in the Statement of

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.16 Revenue from non-exchange transactions (continued)

Financial Performance.

- The cash which backs up the creditor is invested as individual investment or part of the general investments of the Municipality until it is utilised.
- Interest earned on the investment is treated in accordance with grant conditions. If it is payable to the funder it is recorded as part of the creditor. If it is the Municipality's interest, it is recognised as interest earned in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.17 Borrowing costs

Borrowing costs are interest and other expenses incurred by an entity in connection with the borrowing of funds.

Borrowing costs are recognised as an expense in the period in which they are incurred.

1.18 Comparative figures

Where necessary, comparative figures have been reclassified to conform to changes in presentation in the current year.

1.19 Unauthorised expenditure

Unauthorised expenditure means:

- overspending of a vote or a main division within a vote; and
- expenditure not in accordance with the purpose of a vote or, in the case of a main division, not in accordance with the purpose of the main division.

All expenditure relating to unauthorised expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.20 Fruitless and wasteful expenditure

Fruitless expenditure means expenditure which was made in vain and would have been avoided had reasonable care been exercised.

All expenditure relating to fruitless and wasteful expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

1.21 Irregular expenditure

Irregular expenditure as defined in section 1 of the PFMA is expenditure other than unauthorised expenditure, incurred in contravention of or that is not in accordance with a requirement of any applicable legislation, including -

- (a) this Act; or
- (b) the State Tender Board Act, 1968 (Act No. 86 of 1968), or any regulations made in terms of the Act; or
- (c) any provincial legislation providing for procurement procedures in that provincial government.

National Treasury practice note no. 4 of 2008/2009 which was issued in terms of sections 76(1) to 76(4) of the PFMA requires the following (effective from 1 April 2008):

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial and which was condoned before year end and/or before finalisation of the financial statements must also be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. In such an instance, no further action is also required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and for which condonement is being awaited at year end must be recorded in the irregular expenditure register. No further action is required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.

Where irregular expenditure was incurred in the previous financial year and is only condoned in the following financial year, the register and the disclosure note to the financial statements must be updated with the amount condoned.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and which was not condoned by the National Treasury or the relevant authority must be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. If liability for the irregular expenditure can be attributed to a person, a debt account must be created if such a person is liable in law. Immediate steps must thereafter be taken to recover the amount from the person concerned. If recovery is not possible, the accounting officer or accounting authority may write off the amount as debt impairment and disclose such in the relevant note to the financial statements. The irregular expenditure register must also be updated accordingly. If the irregular expenditure has not been condoned and no person is liable in law, the expenditure related thereto must remain against the relevant programme/expenditure item, be disclosed as such in the note to the financial statements and updated accordingly in the irregular expenditure register.

Irregular expenditure is expenditure that is contrary to the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No.56 of 2003), the Municipal Systems Act (Act No.32 of 2000), and the Public Office Bearers Act (Act No. 20 of 1998) or is in contravention of the economic entity's supply chain management policy. Irregular expenditure excludes unauthorised expenditure. Irregular expenditure is accounted for as expenditure in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.22 Budget information

Municipality are typically subject to budgetary limits in the form of appropriations or budget authorisations (or equivalent), which is given effect through authorising legislation, appropriation or similar.

General purpose financial reporting by municipality shall provide information on whether resources were obtained and used in accordance with the legally adopted budget.

The approved budget is prepared on a accrual basis and presented by economic classification linked to performance outcome objectives.

The approved budget covers the fiscal period from 2016/07/01 to 2017/06/30.

The budget for the economic entity includes all the entities approved budgets under its control.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.22 Budget information (continued)

The annual financial statements and the budget are on the same basis of accounting therefore a comparison with the budgeted amounts for the reporting period have been included in the Statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts.

1.23 Related parties

The municipality operates in an economic sector currently dominated by entities directly or indirectly owned by the South African Government. As a consequence of the constitutional independence of the three spheres of government in South Africa, only entities within the national sphere of government are considered to be related parties.

Management are those persons responsible for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the municipality, including those charged with the governance of the municipality in accordance with legislation, in instances where they are required to perform such functions.

Close members of the family of a person are considered to be those family members who may be expected to influence, or be influenced by, that management in their dealings with the municipality.

Only transactions with related parties not at arm's length or not in the ordinary course of business are disclosed.

1.24 VAT

Value Added Tax on revenue and expenditure transactions are recorded in the books of the municipality on accrual basis of accounting, however South African Revenue Services has registered and permitted the municipality to use the payment basis.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.25 Standards and interpretations issued, but not yet effective

GRAP 20: Related parties

The objective of this standard is to ensure that a reporting entity's financial statements contain the disclosures necessary to draw attention to the possibility that its financial position and surplus or deficit may have been affected by the existence of related parties and by transactions and outstanding balances with such parties.

An entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting (in this standard referred to as the reporting entity) shall apply this standard in:

- identifying related party relationships and transactions
- · identifying outstanding balances, including commitments, between an entity and its related parties
- identifying the circumstances in which disclosure of the items in (a) and (b) is required; and
- determining the disclosures to be made about those items.

This standard requires disclosure of related party relationships, transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, in the consolidated and separate financial statements of the reporting entity in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements. This standard also applies to individual financial statements.

Disclosure of related party transactions, outstanding balances, including commitments, and relationships with related parties may affect users' assessments of the financial position and performance of the reporting entity and its ability to deliver agreed services, including assessments of the risks and opportunities facing the entity. This disclosure also ensures that the reporting entity is transparent about its dealings with related parties.

The standard states that a related party is a person or an entity with the ability to control or jointly control the other party, or exercise significant influence over the other party, or vice versa, or an entity that is subject to common control, or joint control. As a minimum, the following are regarded as related parties of the reporting entity:

The standard states that a related party is a person or an entity with the ability to control or jointly control the other party, or exercise significant influence over the other party, or vice versa, or an entity that is subject to common control, or joint control. As a minimum, the following are regarded as related parties of the reporting entity

- has control or joint control over the reporting entity;
- has significant influence over the reporting entity;
- is a member of the management of the entity or its controlling entity.
- An entity is related to the reporting entity if any of the following conditions apply:
- the entity is a member of the same economic entity (which means that each controlling entity, controlled entity and fellow controlled entity is related to the others);
- one entity is an associate or joint venture of the other entity (or an associate or joint venture of a member of an
 economic entity of which the other entity is a member);
- both entities are joint ventures of the same third party;
- one entity is a joint venture of a third entity and the other entity is an associate of the third entity;
- the entity is a post-employment benefit plan for the benefit of employees of either the entity or an entity related to the entity. If the reporting entity is itself such a plan, the sponsoring employers are related to the entity;
- the entity is controlled or jointly controlled by a person identified in (a); and
- a person in (a)(i) has significant influence over that entity or is a member of the management of that entity (or its controlling entity).

The standard furthermore states that related party transaction is a transfer of resources, services or obligations between the reporting entity and a related party, regardless of whether a price is charged. The standard elaborates on the definitions and identification of:

- Close member of the family of a person;
- Management;
- Related parties
- Remuneration; and
- Significant influence

The standard sets out the requirements, inter alia, for the disclosure of:

- Control:
- Related party transactions; and
- Remuneration of management

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2016.

The entity expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2017 financial statements.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the entity's financial statements.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.25 Standards and interpretations issued, but not yet effective (continued)

DIRECTIVE 11: Changes in measurement bases following the initial adoption of Standards of Grap

The objective of this Directive is to permit an entity to change its measurement bases following the initial adoption of Standards of GRAP. The change is based on the principles in the Standard of GRAP on Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors. This Directive should therefore be read in conjunction with the Standard of GRAP on Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.

In applying paragraph 13(b) of the Standard of GRAP on Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors, this Directive allows an entity, that has initially adopted the fair value model for investment property or the revaluation model for property, plant and equipment, intangible assets or heritage assets, to change its accounting policy on a once-off basis to the cost model when the entity elects to change its accounting policy following the initial adoption of these Standards of GRAP. The once-off change will be allowed when the entity made an inappropriate accounting policy choice on the initial adoption of the Standards of GRAP.

Subsequent to the application of this Directive, an entity will be allowed to change its accounting policy in future periods subject to it meeting the requirements in the Standard of GRAP on Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors. The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2016.

The entity expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2017 financial statements.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the entity's financial statements.

GRAP 32: Service Concession Arrangements: Grantor

The entity expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2017 financial statements

It furthermore covers: Definitions, recognition and measurement of a service concession asset, recognition and measurement of liabilities, other liabilities, contingent liabilities, and contingent assets, other revenues, presentation and disclosure, transitional provisions, as well as the effective date.

The effective date of the standard is not yet set by the Minister of Finance.

The entity expects to adopt the standard for the first time when the Minister set the effective date for the standard.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the entity's financial statements.

GRAP 108: Statutory Receivables

The objective of this Standard is: to prescribe accounting requirements for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of statutory receivables.

It furthermore covers: Definitions, recognition, derecognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure, transitional provisions, as well as the effective date.

The effective date of the standard is not yet set by the Minister of Finance.

The entity expects to adopt the standard for the first time when the Minister set the effective date for the standard.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the entity's financial statements.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Accounting Policies

1.25 Standards and interpretations issued, but not yet effective (continued)

IGRAP 17: Service Concession Arrangements where a Grantor Controls a Significant Residual Interest in an Asset

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP provides guidance to the grantor where it has entered into a service concession arrangement, but only controls, through ownership, beneficial entitlement or otherwise, a significant residual interest in a service concession asset at the end of the arrangement, where the arrangement does not constitute a lease. This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP shall not be applied by analogy to other types of transactions or arrangements.

A service concession arrangement is a contractual arrangement between a grantor and an operator in which the operator uses the service concession asset to provide a mandated function on behalf of the grantor for a specified period of time. The operator is compensated for its services over the period of the service concession arrangement, either through payments, or through receiving a right to earn revenue from third party users of the service concession asset, or the operator is given access to another revenue-generating asset of the grantor for its use.

Before the grantor can recognise a service concession asset in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Service Concession Arrangements: Grantor, both the criteria as noted in paragraph .01 of this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP need to be met. In some service concession arrangements, the grantor only controls the residual interest in the service concession asset at the end of the arrangement, and can therefore not recognise the service concession asset in terms of the Standard of GRAP on Service Concession Arrangements: Grantor.

A consensus is reached, in this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP, on the recognition of the performance obligation and the right to receive a significant interest in a service concession asset. The effective date of the standard is not yet set by the Minister of Finance.

The entity expects to adopt the standard for the first time when the Minister set the effective date for the standard. It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the entity's financial statements.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Notes to the Annual Financial Statement

Figures in Rand	2017	2016 Restated *	
2. Inventories			
Consumable stores	3 277 916	2 477 243	
Assets held for distribution	6 632 538	6 632 538	
	9 910 454	9 109 781	

In the current year an amount of R 2,751,162 (2016: R 2,477,243) was expensed with respect to consumable stores.

Inventory pledged as security

Assets held for distribution relate to land on which RDP houses are built and are awaiting transfers. No Inventory was pledged as security.

3. Receivables from exchange

3. Receivables from exchange		
Gross balances		
Refuse	22 010 030	16 940 521
Housing rental	2 333 623	372 915
Other receivables	174 607	107 115
	24 518 260	17 420 551
Less: Allowance for impairment		
Refuse	(14 396 602)	(16 622 552)
Housing rental	(390 482)	(263 538)
	(14 787 084)	(16 886 090)
Net balance		
Refuse	7 613 428	317 969
Housing rental	1 943 141	109 377
Other receivables	174 607	107 115
	9 731 176	534 461
Reconciliation of allowance for impairment		
Balance at beginning of the year	16 886 090	13 919 473
Contributions to allowance	-	2 966 617
	16 886 090	16 886 090
Refuse		
Current (0 -30 days)	346 253	288 091
31 - 60 days	344 428	278 588
61 - 90 days	339 624	258 931
91 - 120 days	337 391	257 440
121 - 150 days	318 639	256 113
> 150 days	20 323 695 22 010 030	15 601 358 16 940 521
		10 940 321
Housing rental		
Current (0 -30 days)	131 894	4 843
31 - 60 days	137 297	2 629
61 - 90 days	132 894	3 370
91 - 120 days	132 226	2 578
121 - 150 days	131 623	3 094
> 150 days	1 667 689	356 401
	2 333 623	372 915
		-

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017		
Figures in Rand	2017	2016
		Restated *

Receivables from exchange (continued)

Other (specify)

> 365 days 174 607 107 115

Consumer debtors past due but not impaired

All consumer debtors which are past due were considered for impaiment in arriving to the impairment figures disclosed in the Annual Financial Statements.

Receivables from non-exchange transactions

Gross	ba	lan	ces
Propert	νF	2 ate	20

Gross paralices		
Property Rates	75 321 774	57 556 986
Fines	17 564 700	17 550 908
	92 886 474	75 107 894
Less: Allowance for impairment		
Property Rates	(48 747 267)	(56 424 335)
Fines	(17 525 000)	(15 346 893)
	(66 272 267)	(71 771 228)
Net balance		
Property rates	26 574 507	1 132 651
Fines		
rilles	39 700	2 204 015
	26 614 207	3 336 666
Rates		
Current (0 -30 days)	1 788 642	1 357 952
31 - 60 days	1 784 718	1 327 288
61 90 days	1 740 970	1 302 695
91 - 120 days	1 714 735	1 288 872
121 days- 150 days	1 650 828	1 243 770
> 150 days	66 641 881	51 036 409
	75 321 774	57 556 986

5. VAT receivable

VAT 6 096 192 10 863 090

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017	<u> </u>	
Figures in Rand	2017	2016
	F	Restated *
6. Cash and cash equivalents		
Cash and cash equivalents consist of:		
Bank balances	306 560	-
Short-term deposits	9 922 171	22 252 751
	10 228 731	22 252 751
Total Cash and cash equivalents	10 228 731	22 252 751
Total bank overdraft	-	(210 065)

10 228 731

22 042 686

The municipality had the following bank accounts

Account number / description	Bank statement balances			Casl	n book balance	:S
1	30 June 2017	30 June 2016	30 June 2015	30 June 2017 3	0 June 2016	30 June 2015
Primary Bank Account-FNB:62237497872	72 470	(275 133)	1 677 668	284 575	(210 058)	1 663 183
FNB -MSP 622 402 53188	25 068	25 068	25 045	25 068	25 068	25 045
FNB-MIG 622 402 53542	1 604 254	19 409	3 406 481	1 604 254	19 409	3 406 481
FNB- Nqamakwe Survey 622 402 59615	-	-	101 419	-	-	101 419
FNB-FMG 622 402 52768	1 162	1 068	2 038	1 162	1 068	2 038
FNB-Centane Survey 622 402 60430	-	-	43 329	-	-	43 328
FNB-Siyanda Planning 622 402	-	-	87 853	-	-	87 853
62105			2 240			2 240
FNB-Centane Planning 622 402 61149	-	-	3 310	-	-	3 310
FNB-MSIG 622 402 54003	-	1 238	2 895	-	1 238	2 895
FNB-Siyanda Survey 622 402 59144	-	-	33 057	-	-	33 057
FNB- T/A Intervention 622 402 58568	23 756	23 756	23 735	23 756	23 756	23 735
FNB-DHLGTA 622 402 54673	-	-	28 139	-	-	28 139
FNB-Call Acc 622 402 52198	357 926	14 524 627	41 298 642	357 926	14 524 627	41 298 642
FNB-DEAT 622 402 56471	225 786	225 786	225 582	225 786	225 786	225 582
FNB-INEG 623 617 7559	378 242	9 316	5 142 422	378 242	9 316	5 142 422
FNB-EPWP 623 456 80195	1 022	411	1 057 941	1 022	411	1 057 941
FNB-EDSMG 623 799 87640	6 904 589	6 904 623	6 897 226	6 904 589	6 904 623	6 897 226
FNB-LGSETA 623 800 69437	400 366	517 411	1 136 949	400 366	517 411	1 136 949
Total	9 994 641	21 977 580	61 193 731	10 206 746	22 042 655	61 179 245

Investment property

:		2017			2016	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Investment property	60 143 926	(55 158 774)	4 985 152	60 143 926	(53 571 494)	6 572 432

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017			
Figures in Rand		2017	2016 Restated *
7. Investment property (continued)			
Reconciliation of investment property - 2017			
	Opening balance	Depreciation	Total
Investment property	6 572 432	(1 780 347)	4 985 152
Reconciliation of investment property - 2016			
	Opening balance	Depreciation	Total
Investment property	9 632 063	(3 059 631)	6 572 432

A register containing the information required by section 63 of the Municipal Finance Management Act is available for inspection at the registered office of the municipality.

IThe municipality has land and buildings, including vacant land that is classified as investment property. In terms of the Local Government Municipal Properties Rates Act (Act 6 of 2004), the municipality is required to perform a general valuation once every four (4) years.

The investment property held in the books of the municipality is not actively traded as the mandate of the municipality also includes local economic development. As such properties may be earmarked for local economic development. Thus, their trade value will be based on the proposal that impacts positively on economic growth. Land that is sitting as investment properties is not depreciated, only the buildings component of the investment properties is depreciated.

8. Property, plant and equipment

			=			
		2017			2016	
					Restated	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated	Carrying value
		depreciation and			depreciation and	
		accumulated			accumulated	
		impairment			impairment	
Land	21 925 964	-	21 925 964	21 925 964	-	21 925 964
Plant and	14 548 519	(3 258 032)	11 290 487	15 615 209	(2 655 706)	12 959 503
machinery						
Furniture and	4 580 135	(2 560 949)	2 019 186	4 641 231	(2 336 147)	2 305 084
fixtures						
Motor vehicles	14 935 295	(5 299 955)	9 635 340	15 338 619	(4 625 163)	10 713 456
Office equipment	7 397 140	(3 272 053)	4 125 087	6 910 781	(2 508 398)	4 402 383
Infrastructure	1 467 899 974	(800 831 376)	667 068 598	1 424 348 628	(750 297 820)	674 050 808
Community	149 590 220	(58 904 495)	90 685 725	139 167 820	(55 707 471)	83 460 349
Other property,	153 945	(70 728)	83 217	153 945	(59 756)	94 189
plant and						
equipment						
Work in progress	40 476 192	-	40 476 192	42 071 944	-	42 071 944
Total	1 721 507 384	(874 197 588)	847 309 796	1 670 174 141	(818 190 461)	851 983 680

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand

2017

2016

Restated *

8. Property, plant and equipment (continued)

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - 2017

	Opening balance	Additions	Write off	Transfers	Depreciation	Total
Land	21 925 964	-	-	-	-	21 925 964
Plant and machinery	12 959 503	-	(890 034)	-	(778 982)	11 290 487
Furniture and fixtures	2 305 084	117 070	-	-	(413 652)	2 019 186
Motor vehicles	10 713 456	-	(316153)	-	(761 963)	9 635 340
Office equipment	4 402 383	504 384	-	-	(770 996)	4 125 087
Infrastructure	674 050 808	-	-	43 875 308	(50 533 556)	667 068 598
Community	83 460 349	-	-	13 455 887	(3 197 023)	90 685 725
Security Measures	94 189	-	-	-	(10 972)	83 217
Work in progress	42 071 944	55 948 081	-	(57 331 195)	-	40 476 192
	851 983 680	56 569 535	(1 206 187)	•	(56 467 144)	847 309 796

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - 2016 Restated

Land	21 925 964	-	-	-	-	-	21 925 964
Plant and machinery	12 418 685	1 525 490	(307 800)	-	-	(676 872)	12 959 503
Furniture and fixtures	2 661 734	325 707	(192 028)	-	-	(389 646)	2 305 084
Motor vehicles	11 605 647	-	(123 614)	-	-	(768 577)	10 713 456
Office equipment	3 687 358	1 457 240	(153 247)	-	-	(689 651)	4 402 383
Infrastructure	675 232 528	-	(498 028)	43 875 308	-	(44 559 000)	674 050 808
Community	72 846 136	-	-	13 305 919	149 968	(2 841 674)	83 460 349
Security Measures	105 161	-	-	-	-	(10 972)	94 189
Work in progress	33 964 597	62 739 599	-	- 4	632 252)	-	42 071 944
	834 447 810	66 048 036	(1 274 717)	57 181 227 4	482 284)	(49 936 392)	851 983 680

A register containing the information required by section 63 of the Municipal Finance Management Act is available for inspection at the registered office of the municipality.

Figures in Rand	2017	2016
	Re	stated *
9. Finance lease obligation		
Minimum lease payments due		
- within one year	94 730	284 355
- in second to fifth year inclusive	-	94 719
	94 730	379 074
less: future finance charges	-	(23 888)
Present value of minimum lease payments	94 730	355 186
Present value of minimum lease payments due		
- within one year	94 730	263 118
- in second to fifth year inclusive		92 068
	94 730	355 186
Non-current liabilities	-	92 068
Current liabilities	94 730	263 119
	94 730	355 187

The average lease term is 5 years. The finance lease is in respect of the motor vehicles and other office equipment . The nominal or effective interest rates varies . The municipality will take ownership when the lease term expires . The lease agreements do not provide for contingency rental payments.

The municipality's obligations under the finance leases are secured by the lessor's charge over the leased assets.

10. Payables from exchange transactions

Trade payables Advance payment - consumer debtors Unclaimed deposits Payroll control Retention payable Other Creditors	20 109 981 706 077 6 093 599 (117 580) 5 703 643 6 376 321 38 872 041	10 592 463 441 525 5 960 254 53 631 7 003 758 - 24 051 631
11. Payables from non-exchange transactions		
Payments received in advance - Property rates	2 456 830	1 649 228
12. Unspent conditional grants and receipts Unspent conditional grants and receipts comprise of:		
Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) Department of Housing (Centane Planning) Municipal Support Programme (MSP) Intervention Local Government (LGSETA) Department of Housing and Local Government Department of Minerals and Energy (Electrification) Department of Minerals and Energy (Electricity Demandside Management) DEAT Investment	1 569 086 24 946 23 623 398 416 - 372 411 6 870 040 224 691	24 946 23 623 514 871 1 - 6 870 040 224 691

9 483 213

7 658 173

Figu	res in Rand		2017	2016 Restated *
12.	Unspent conditional grants and receipts (continued)			
Mov	ement during the year			
Bala	nce at the beginning of the year		7 658 173	18 479 904
	tions during the year		67 373 600	75 080 631
Inco	me recognition during the year		(65 548 560)	(85 902 362
			9 483 213	7 658 173
gove	above note presents the nature and extent of government grants recogernment assistance from which the municipality has directly benefited as ngencies attached to government assistance that has been recognised	s well as unfulfilled		
See	note 22 for reconciliation of grants from National/Provincial Governmen	nt.		
Thes	se amounts are invested in a ring-fenced investment account until utilise	ed.		
13.	Revaluation reserve			
The	revaluation reserve is not distributable, given that this is a municipality.			
Onei	ning balance		437 070 375	438 218 005
	nge during the year		-	(1 147 630
			437 070 375	437 070 375
14.	Provisions			
Rec	onciliation of provisions - 2017			
		Opening Balance	Additions	Total
	re Pay Provision	13 525 910	3 411 949	16 937 859
-	loyee Benefit Obligation	8 589 000	2 381 000	10 970 000
Bonu	us Provision	5 960 807	595 571	6 556 378
		28 075 717	6 388 520	34 464 237
Rec	onciliation of provisions - 2016			
		Opening Balance	Additions	Total
	re Pay Provision loyee Benefit Obligation	10 758 499 7 281 000	2 767 411 1 308 000	13 525 910 8 589 000
	us Provision	4 931 642	1 029 165	5 960 807
		22 971 141	5 104 576	28 075 717
4-	0			
	Service charges			
Refu	se removal		4 436 470	3 624 737
16.	Rental of facilities and equipment			
	nises al of flats		2 353 155	2 758 468
	hire		114 308	89 287
пап			•	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017		
Figures in Rand	2017	2016 Restated *
17. Interest on outstanding debtors		
Interest on Refuse Interest on Rates	2017 (6 114 771) (660 254)	2016 (5 073 904)
	(6 775 025)	(5 073 904)
18. Income from agency services		
Agency services	2017 3 204 814	2016 2 768 727
19. Other income		
Miscellaneous income	675 611	1 144 157
20. Interest received - Investment		
Interest revenue Bank	3 817 824	5 335 609
21. Municipal - Licences and permits		
Licences and permits	920 579	1 093 541

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017			
Figures in Rand	2017	2016	
		Restated *	
22. Property rates			
Rates charged			
Property rates	20 041 273	21 142 873	
Less: Rebates	(1 199 143)	(1 240 717)	
	18 842 130	19 902 156	

Valuations on land and buildings are performed every 4 years. The last general valuation came into effect on 1 July 2014. Interim valuations are conducted at least once on an annual basis to take into account changes in individual property values due to alterations and subdivisions. Tariffs are applied as follows:

Residential

A general rate of R0.01178 - (2016:R0.01178) is applied to residential property valuations to determine assessment rates. Rebates are granted to all residential property owners.

Business and Commercial

A general rate of R0.01414- (2016: R0.01414) is applied to business and commercial property valuations to determine assessment rates.

Vacant

A general rate of R0.01414 - (2016:R0.01414) is applied to vacant and indutrial property valuations to determine assessment rates.

Public Services

A general rate of R0.00295 - (2016:R0.00295) is applied to vacant and indutrial property valuations to determine assessment

rates.

Small Holdings and Farms

A general rate of R0.00295- (2016: R0.00295) is applied to vacant and indutrial property valuations to determine assessment

rates.

Industrial

A general rate of R0.01414 - (2016:R0.01414) is applied to indutrial property valuations to determine assessment rates.

Rebates

Rebates are granted to property owners in accordance with a variety of social and economic factors as described in the Municipality's Property Rates Policy.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand	2017 I	2016 Restated *
23. Government grants and subsidies		
Operating grants		
Equitable Share	221 906 000	234 403 371
Municipal Systems Improvement Grant (MSIG)	-	932 029
Department of Housing (Centane Survey)	-	43 158
Finance Management Grant	1 763 055	1 601 131
Extended Public Works Programme	1 000 000	1 559 000
Government Grant	-	3 297
Department of Housing (Centane Planning)	-	32 927
Nqamakwe Survey	-	101 019
Department of Housing (Siyanda Survey)	-	87 506
Department of Housing and Local Government-(DHLG)	-	28 028
LG SETA	<u> </u>	617 500
	224 669 055	239 408 966
Capital grants		
Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG)	58 157 914	65 896 766
Intergrated National Electrifiction Programme (INEP)	4 627 589	15 000 000
	62 785 503	80 896 766
	287 454 558	320 305 732

Equitable Share

In terms of the Constitution, this grant is used to subsidise the provision of basic services to indigent community members as well as the operations of the municipality.

All registered indigents receive the following subsidies:

- 1. For paraffin beneficiaries, every ward has 100 beneficiaries at 20 litre per person bi-monthly
- 2. For all electricity beneficiaries, 50 KW per month
- 3. Rebates of R20,000 are granted to residential property owners.

Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG)

. ,		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	3 729 766
Current-year receipts	59 727 000	62 167 000
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(58 157 914)	(65 896 766)
	1 569 086	-
Municipal Systems Improvement Grant (MSIG)		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	2 029
Current-year receipts	-	930 000
Conditions met - transferred to revenue		(932 029)
		-
Centane Survey		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	43 158
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	<u> </u>	(43 158)
	<u> </u>	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017 Figures in Rand 2017 2016 Restated * 23. Government grants and subsidies (continued) **Centane Planning** Balance unspent at beginning of year 3 297 Conditions met - transferred to revenue (3297)**Municipal Support Programme** Balance unspent at beginning of year 24 946 Current-year receipts 24 946 24 946 24 946 Siyanda Survey Balance unspent at beginning of year 32 927 Conditions met - transferred to revenue (32927)**T/A Intervention** Balance unspent at beginning of year 23 623 23 623 **Local government -SETA** Balance unspent at beginning of year 514 870 1 132 370 Current-year receipts 21 600 Conditions met - transferred to revenue (138055)(617500)398 415 514 870 **Dept of Housing and Local Government** Balance unspent at beginning of year 28 029 Conditions met - transferred to revenue (28029)**Department of Energy (EDM)** Balance unspent at beginning of year 6 870 040 6 870 040 **DEAT Investment** Balance unspent at beginning of year 224 691 224 691 **Nqamakwe Survey** Balance unspent at beginning of year 101 019 Conditions met - transferred to revenue $(101\ 019)$ Siyanda Planning Balance unspent at beginning of year 87 506 Conditions met - transferred to revenue (87506)

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017		
Figures in Rand	2017	2016
		Restated *
22. Covernment greate and cubaidies (continued)		
23. Government grants and subsidies (continued)	_	-

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017		
Figures in Rand	2017	2016 Restated *
23. Government grants and subsidies (continued)		
Finance Management Grant (FMG)		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	1 131
Current-year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	1 625 000 (1 625 000)	1 600 000 (1 601 131)
		-
Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP)		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	1 052 651
Current-year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	1 000 000 (1 000 000)	1 000 000 (1 559 000)
Other	(1 000 000)	(493 651)
		-
Intergrated National Electrification Programme (INEP)		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	5 122 720
Current-year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	5 000 000	15 000 000
Other	(4 627 589)	(15 000 000) (5 122 720)
	372 411	-
24. Revenue		
Service charges	4 436 470	3 624 737
Rental of facilities and equipment	2 459 832	2 847 755
Interest received Outstanding debtors Income from agency services	6 775 025 3 204 814	5 073 904 2 768 727
Licences and permits	920 579	1 093 541
Other income	675 611	1 144 157
Interest received - investment	3 817 824	5 335 609
Property rates Government grants & subsidies	18 842 130 287 454 558	19 902 156 320 305 732
Fines	2 540 315	8 522 293
	331 127 158	370 618 611
The amount included in revenue arising from exchanges of goods or services		
are as follows: Service charges	4 436 470	3 624 737
Rental of facilities and equipment	2 459 832	2 847 755
Interest earned on outstanding debtors	6 775 025	5 073 904
Income from agency services	3 204 814	2 768 727
Licences and permits Other income	920 579	1 093 541
Other income Interest received - investment	675 611 3 817 824	1 144 157 5 335 609
interest received - investment	22 290 155	21 888 430
	22 290 100	Z I 000 43U

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017		
Figures in Rand	2017	2016
	<u> </u>	Restated *
24. Revenue (continued)		
The amount included in revenue arising from non-exchange transactions is as follows:		
Taxation revenue		
Property rates Transfer revenue	18 842 130	19 902 156
Government grants & subsidies	287 454 558	320 305 732
Fines	2 540 315	8 522 293
	308 837 003	348 730 181

25. Employee related costs Basic Salary Performance Bonus Medical aid Unemployment Insurance Fund Workmens compensation Skills Development Levy SALGA Levy Leave pay provision charge	2017 114 612 384 - 7 730 355 851 766 993 758 1 463 780 47 177 6 299 235	2016 Restated * 105 186 140 865 099 6 677 145 829 705 3 017 036 1 448 275
Basic Salary Performance Bonus Medical aid Unemployment Insurance Fund Workmens compensation Skills Development Levy SALGA Levy	114 612 384 - 7 730 355 851 766 993 758 1 463 780 47 177	105 186 140 865 099 6 677 145 829 705 3 017 036 1 448 275
Basic Salary Performance Bonus Medical aid Unemployment Insurance Fund Workmens compensation Skills Development Levy SALGA Levy	7 730 355 851 766 993 758 1 463 780 47 177	865 099 6 677 145 829 705 3 017 036 1 448 275
Performance Bonus Medical aid Unemployment Insurance Fund Workmens compensation Skills Development Levy SALGA Levy	7 730 355 851 766 993 758 1 463 780 47 177	865 099 6 677 145 829 705 3 017 036 1 448 275
Medical aid Unemployment Insurance Fund Workmens compensation Skills Development Levy SALGA Levy	851 766 993 758 1 463 780 47 177	6 677 145 829 705 3 017 036 1 448 275
Unemployment Insurance Fund Workmens compensation Skills Development Levy SALGA Levy	851 766 993 758 1 463 780 47 177	829 705 3 017 036 1 448 275
Workmens compensation Skills Development Levy SALGA Levy	993 758 1 463 780 47 177	3 017 036 1 448 275
Skills Development Levy SALGA Levy	1 463 780 47 177	1 448 275
SALGA Levy	47 177	
Leave pay provision charge	6 200 225	45 544
		5 045 455
Pension fund contribution	19 738 473	17 564 092
Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances	7 152 515	6 361 874
Overtime payments	1 325 076	1 088 189
13th Cheques	8 734 330	8 368 000
Acting allowances	1 442 882	1 482 761
Housing benefits and allowances	123 172	151 389
Other allowances	2 366 838	2 412 314
Cellphone allowances	532 540	561 058
	173 414 281	161 104 076
Remuneration of municipal manager - S Tantsi		
Annual Remuneration	772 997	950 552
Leave Pay	520 919	-
Performance Bonuses	-	195 013
Backpay	14 626	105 771
Travel Allowance	152 455	187 473
Pension	-	189 799
Cellphone Allowance	68 340	84 040
UIF	1 487	1 785
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	154 346	-
	1 685 170	1 714 433
Remuneration of Chief Financial Officer - L. Manjingolo		
Annual Remuneration	504 963	454 416
Back Pay	45 820	_
Performance Bonus	95 427	83 310
Pro-rata Bonus (Previous Position)	-	15 488
Contributions to Pension Fund	181 789	166 144
Medical Aid	49 915	78 000
Cellphone Allowance	32 011	58 800
Re-imbursive Allowance	12 028	3 216
Subsistence Allowance	2 170	6 761
UIF	1 785	1 785
Travel Allowance	60 000	-
	985 908	867 920

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017		
Figures in Rand	2017 F	2016 Restated *
25. Employee related costs (continued)		
Remuneration of Director of Strategic Management - L. Nonyongo		
Annual Remuneration	646 921	594 743
Performance Bonuses	143 760	127 13
Travel Allowance	190 040	174 712
Cellphone Allowance Contributions to Pension Fund	89 925 96 218	84 040 88 457
Medical Aid	55 098	49 28
Subsistence Allowance	795	10 20
UIF	1 785	1 78
Re-imbursive Allowance	4 233	
Backpay	10 269	74.05
Other		74 259
	1 239 044	1 194 417
Remuneration of the Director of Corporate Services - D. Mrwetyana		
Annual Remuneration	646 920	594 743
Performance Bonuses	123 222	117 355
Backpay	10 268	74 259
Travel Allowance Contributions to Pension Fund	203 921 97 038	187 473 89 212
Medical Aid	33 837	31 108
Cellphone Allowance	96 485	88 703
Re-imbursive Allowance	5 760	3 312
Subsistence Allowance	880	4 073
UIF	1 785	1 785
	1 220 116	1 192 023
Remuneration of Director of Infrastructure - K. Clock		
Annual Remuneration	680 048	594 743
Performance Bonuses	133 492	127 135
Backpay	10 269	74 259
Travel Allowance Cellphone Allowance	133 603 73 786	122 827 67 835
Contributions to Pension Fund	174 669	160 58
Medical Aid	16 095	45 252
Subsistence Allowance	1 890	15 318
Re-imbursive Allowance UIF	10 933 1 785	450 1 785
UIF	1 236 570	1 210 18
Remuneration of the Director of Local Economic Development - V. Madolo		
Annual Remuneration	646 921	594 743
Performance Bonuses Backpay	133 492 10 269	88 016 74 259
Travel Allowance	133 603	122 827
Cellphone Allowance	27 641	27 80
Contributions to Pension Fund	174 669	160 58
Medical Aid Subsistence Allowance	95 368 1 785	85 28 ² 6 419
Re-imbursive Allowance	9 533	1 665
UIF	1 785	1 78
	1 235 066	1 163 382
	1 233 000	1 100 002

Figures in Rand	2017	2016
		Restated *
25. Employee related costs (continued) Remuneration		
of the Director of Community Services		
Annual Remuneration	646 921	594 743
Backpay Performance Bonuses	10 269 133 492	127 135
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	174 668	160 581
Cellphone allowance	73 786	67 835
Subsistence Allowance	6 007	6 105
UIF 	1 785	1 785
Travel allowance	133 603	122 827
Re-imbursive Allowance Medical Aid	2 134 49 216	945 45 248
Other	152 862	74 259
Other	1 384 743	1 201 463
	1 304 743	1 201 403
The remuneration of all salaried employees is within the upper limits of the framework envisage	ged in section 219 of	the
Constitution.		
26. Remuneration of councillors		
Executive mayor	747 567	875 641
Chief whip	603 753	700 460
Speaker	642 180	746 303
Mayoral committee members	5 217 260	6 904 383
Other councillors	16 612 990	16 670 756
	23 823 750	25 897 543
27. Finance costs		
	45.405	07.000
Finance cost-Leases	45 137	27 363
Bank	45 137 380 889 000	27 363 265 689 000
Bank	380	265
Bank Actuarial interest	380 889 000	265 689 000
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets	380 889 000	265 689 000
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets Impairments	380 889 000 934 517	265 689 000 716 628
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets Impairments Trade and other receivables	380 889 000 934 517 (11 034 112)	265 689 000
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets Impairments Trade and other receivables Other receivables from non-exchange revenue Describe the events and circumstances that led to the recognition or reversal of the impairment loss. The recoverable amount or [recoverable service amount] of the asset	380 889 000 934 517	265 689 000 716 628
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets Impairments Trade and other receivables Other receivables from non-exchange revenue Describe the events and circumstances that led to the recognition or reversal of the impairment loss. The recoverable amount or [recoverable service amount] of the asset	380 889 000 934 517 (11 034 112) 2 178 107	265 689 000 716 628 22 919 682
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets Impairments Trade and other receivables Other receivables from non-exchange revenue Describe the events and circumstances that led to the recognition or reversal of the impairment loss. The recoverable amount or [recoverable service amount] of the asset	380 889 000 934 517 (11 034 112)	265 689 000 716 628 22 919 682
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets Impairments Trade and other receivables Other receivables from non-exchange revenue Describe the events and circumstances that led to the recognition or reversal of the	380 889 000 934 517 (11 034 112) 2 178 107 (8 856 005)	265 689 000 716 628
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets Impairments Trade and other receivables Other receivables from non-exchange revenue Describe the events and circumstances that led to the recognition or reversal of the impairment loss. The recoverable amount or [recoverable service amount] of the asset	380 889 000 934 517 (11 034 112) 2 178 107 (8 856 005)	265 689 000 716 628 22 919 682
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets Impairments Trade and other receivables Other receivables from non-exchange revenue Describe the events and circumstances that led to the recognition or reversal of the impairment loss. The recoverable amount or [recoverable service amount] of the asset was based on its fair value less costs to sell or [its value in use.]	380 889 000 934 517 (11 034 112) 2 178 107 (8 856 005)	265 689 000 716 628 22 919 682
Bank Actuarial interest 28. Impairment of assets Impairments Trade and other receivables Other receivables from non-exchange revenue Describe the events and circumstances that led to the recognition or reversal of the impairment loss. The recoverable amount or [recoverable service amount] of the asset was based on its fair value less costs to sell or [its value in use.] 29. Bad debts written off	380 889 000 934 517 (11 034 112) 2 178 107 (8 856 005) (8 856 005)	265 689 000 716 628 22 919 682 22 919 682 22 919 682

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017 Figures in Rand	2017	2016
rigules III Kaliu		Restated *
30. Depreciation and amortisation		
Property, plant and equipment	59 260 612	49 557 735
Investment property	-	3 059 631
Amortisation		4 551
	59 260 612	52 621 917
31. Impairment Loss		
Consumer debtors	1	18 632 289
mpairment - Fines	1	4 287 393
	2	22 919 682
The debt impairment amount is made up of the following:		
Traffic Fines	-	4 287 393
Property Rates	-	15 665 672
Refuse Rentals	-	2 757 740 208 877
	-	22 919 682
32. Repairs and maintenance		
Repairs and maintenance	6 351 150	6 461 432
33. Bulk purchases		
Electricity	4 304 223	3 648 331
Paraffin	5 933 150	5 619 804
	10 237 373	9 268 135
34. Grants and subsidies paid		
Other subsidies Grants and subsidies paid	5 368 240	16 875 400
Grants and subsidies paid Other subsidies	5 368 240	- 16 875 400
Outer audorates	3 300 240	10 07 5 400

Revaluation gain

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017 Figures in Rand 2017 2016 Restated * 35. General expenses Advertising 1 012 532 3 294 291 Auditors remuneration 3 411 068 4 229 994 Bank charges 393 875 293 964 Cleaning 577 007 1 487 922 41 546 Computer expenses 528 118 Bank charges 2 374 141 2 498 256 Consumables 2 276 413 1 899 063 2 780 147 Legal fees 11 927 168 Refuse bags 4 493 915 5 427 586 Civic functions 5 294 16 620 Car licenses and registrations 2 460 025 1 510 131 Hire of equipment 267 345 399 383 Insurance 508 173 480 389 Community development and training 153 157 307 027 Conferences and seminars 186 198 250 952 Community awareness 83 930 140 748 30 258 Magazines, books and periodicals 2 971 282 Fuel and oil 2 984 887 Petty Cash 3 030 (18)1 373 109 Printing and stationery 1 572 191 Security 6 353 987 1 390 400 Subscriptions and membership fees 5 3 7 5 6 974 134 Operating lease 7 293 346 Electricity 2 318 762 1 915 290 527 844 Water 1 075 956 Operating project expenditure 13 333 353 24 472 949 Subsistence and travelling 5 322 103 5 592 579 Sundry expenses 620 720 367 903 Operating lease 1 559 291 1 401 673 Civic functions 1 365 145 1 725 512 Membership fees 39 075 22 520 Tools and Equipment expenses 23 982 8 811 73 258 597 75 103 230 36. Revaluation gain

1 147 630

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017			
Figures in Rand	2017	2016 Restated *	
37. Cash generated from operations			
Deficit	(13 467 545)	(33 932 560)	
Adjustments for:			
Depreciation and amortisation	52 621 917	103 019 757	
Movement in assets	1 074 082	5 085 272	
Revaluation gain	(39 878 723)	(1 147 630)	
Movements from prior year. Impairment loss	(8 856 005)	22 919 682	
Bad debts written off	784 327	3 335 292	
Movements in employee benefit obligation	5 250 703	5 250 703	
Movements in provisions	6 388 520	(5 104 576)	
Actuarial Gains/Losses	-	(0.10.10.0)	
Actuarial Gains/Losses	-	(145 827)	
Prior year error	-	2 405 675	
Other non-cash items	53 621 174	(6 194 056)	
Changes in working capital:			
Inventories	15 801 010	(30 254 117)	
Receivables from exchange transactions	(5 777 973)	(5 777 973)	
Receivables from exchange transactions	(25 514 968)	1 314 392	
Other receivables from non-exchange transactions	(18 906 870)	(18 906 870)	
Payables from exchange transactions	14 820 411	(7 521 116)	
VAT	4 766 898		
Payables from non-exchange transactions	807 602	291 878	
Unspent conditional grants and receipts	1 825 040	(10 821 732)	
	45 359 600	23 816 194	
38. Grants expenditure			
Ngqamakhwe survey	-	281 842	
Electrification of Ngcisininde	4 059 288	13 932 922	
Training of interns	-	120 350	
Operation clean audit	1 170 897	1 131 807	
PMS implementation	-	49 293	
Operation clean audit: Budget	-	263 158	
Training of staff & council	138 055	631 190	
Township roads: Infrastructure	-	435 037	
Website maintenance: Executive		29 800	
	5 368 240	16 875 399	
39. Gain (loss) on disposal of assets			
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets	237 695	(1 074 082)	
40. Auditors' remuneration			
Face.	2 444 060	4 220 004	
Fees	3 411 068	4 229 994	
41. Commitments Authorised			
capital expenditure			
Already contracted for but not provided for			
Property, plant and equipment	70 948 353	58 987 367	
Property, plant and equipment	70 948 353	58 987 3	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand 2017 2016
Restated *

42. Related parties

Relationships

Acting Municipal Manager Chief Financial Officer

Director of Strategic Management Director of Corporate Services

Director of Infrastructural Planning & Development

Director of Community Services

Director of Local Economic Development

Mayor
Speaker
Chief Whip
Exco Councillor

Exco Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor

Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor

Councillor

Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor

Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor

Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor

Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor

Councillor

Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor

Councillor Councillor Councillor

Councillor Councillor Councillor Councillor

Councillor

Z . Plata

L. Manjingolo

L. Nonyongo

D. Mrwetyana K. Clock

Z. Plata

V. Madolo T. Bikitsha

Bıkıtsha
 M. Mnqwazi

Z. Mkiva T.P Ntanga Z. Siyo

N. P. Dube N. Sheleni

L. Mgandela N. Nkamisa N. Skelenge

M.E Ntshonga N.Layiti N. Jiya

C. Mtsi M. Molosi

Y. Mngonyama A.V Mankune N.Tyala S. Mahlanza

N. Q. Sukwana Z. Sobekwa L. M. Mtalo C. N. Makholwa

Z. Mnqokoyi N. Luwaca N.S Tsetse

L. Tsipa Z.Gade N. Phahlane A. Nkaule

A. Nkaule E. W. Nyengule T. Mbelani

M. Buso M.D. Mkhwezo N. Nqata

Z. Mqolo T. Dyani X. Nkwateni T. Madikane N. Zaba N. Njengele N. W. Mzimba

N. W. Mzimba M.S Velaphi N. Mbuku Q. A. Mpande M. Magqabini

V. Bam G. Q. Ngqongolo M. W. Ntongana T. Ntshawuzana T. M. Ntisana M. Zimba D. Solontsi

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand 2017 2016 Restated *

42. Contingencies (continued)

Councillor Councillor

C. K. Mpeluza Z. Bomela M. Nyhontso X. C. Doko N. Lusizi T. H. Mpeta N. M. Mpambani N. Ntolosi N. Thandaphi

S. L. Mafanya M. E. Ntshonga N. Monakali N. N. Ngolomlilo Z. Siyo A. A. Krakri L. Sobekwa G. N. Nombila B. Kave Z. C. Mfazwe T. Z. Xhongwana G. Guqaza N. Bomvana N. H. Kendle

T. Ntyinkala K. G. Magwaca N. G. Ndongeni M. Mkhilili T. P. Ntanga M. Ndungane M. Mxhoko S. N. Tshazi W. W. Mbadlanyana X. L. Pupuma Z. Sogayise B. M. Ganjana

N. Thandela S. Ncetezo N. Njengele N. Plaaitjie G. Mdudo N. Sajini X. D. V. Matanga

S. Matutu N. R. Tshona S. E. Goloza L. Mbentsula S. Lilise T. C. Nkutu A. B. Madikane V. Nkehle T. Makeleni T. C. Mogodla

P. Nguza B. L. Ntleki D. H. Ndzimela S. Mpeta V. L. Mbasa W. M. Mahlangeni N. S. Ngxiya Z. M. Dyantyi M. Magodla N. Nyhila

Councillor Traditional leader Traditional leader Traditional leader Traditional leader Traditional leader Traditional leader

Traditional leader

Traditional leader

Traditional leader

Traditional leader

Traditional leader

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand 2017 2016 Restated '

42. Contingencies (continued) Related parties

D. Mpangele Traditional leader B. B. Vuso Traditional leader M. Dondolo Traditional leader O.M. Tsipa Traditional leader M. Mcotama Traditional leader M. C. Luzipo Traditional leader M. Nguza N. V. G. Dondashe Traditional leader

Traditional leader V. N. Kona

Transactions with related parties were concluded at arm's length.

Refer to note 24 for a breakdown of councillors' remuneration.

Refer to note 23 for a breakdown of amounts paid to section 56 managers.

43. Risk management

Financial risk management

The municipality's activities expose it to a variety of financial risks: market risk (including currency risk, fair value interest rate risk, cash flow interest rate risk and price risk), credit risk and liquidity risk.

The municipality's overall risk management program focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to minimise potential adverse effects on the municipality's financial performance. The municipality uses derivative financial instruments to hedge certain risk exposures. Risk management is carried out by a central treasury department (entity treasury) under policies approved by the . Municipality treasury identifies, evaluates and hedges financial risks in close cooperation with the municipality's operating units. The provides written principles for overall risk management, as well as written policies covering specific areas, such as foreign exchange risk, interest rate risk, credit risk, use of derivative financial instruments and non-derivative financial instruments, and investment of excess liquidity.

Liquidity risk

The municipality's risk to liquidity is a result of the funds available to cover future commitments. The municipality manages liquidity risk through an ongoing review of future commitments and credit facilities.

Credit risk consists mainly of cash deposits, cash equivalents, derivative financial instruments and trade debtors. The municipality only deposits cash with major banks with high quality credit standing and limits exposure to any one counter-

Trade receivables comprise a widespread customer base. Management evaluated credit risk relating to customers on an ongoing basis. If customers are independently rated, these ratings are used. Otherwise, if there is no independent rating, risk control assesses the credit quality of the customer, taking into account its financial position, past experience and other factors. Individual risk limits are set based on internal or external ratings in accordance with limits set by the board. The utilisation of credit limits is regularly monitored. Sales to retail customers are settled in cash or using major credit cards. Credit guarantee insurance is purchased when deemed appropriate.

Financial assets exposed to credit risk at year end were as follows:

Financial instrument	2017	2016
Receivable from exchange transactions	24 936 642	534 461
Receivables from non - exchange	2 204 015	3 336 666
Bank, cash and cash equivalents	9 922 171	22 252 751

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017		
Figures in Rand	 2017	2016
		Restated *

44. Going concern

The annual financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting policies applicable to a going concern. This basis presumes that funds will be available to finance future operations and that the realisation of assets and settlement of liabilities, contingent obligations and commitments will occur in the ordinary course of business.

The Accounting Officer is of the opinion that the municipality will continue to as a going concern for the forseeable future.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand

2017

2016

Restated **

45. Budget differences

Material differences between budget and actual amounts

Statement of Financial Performance

- 46.1 Management planned to implement a revenue enhancement strategy during the financial year. The objective of this programme is to increase the collection rate and reduce the debtor's book leading to a decrease in interest income from debtor's accounts..
- 46.2 The municipality anticipated that there will be many vehicles to be registered during the financial year in line with the incremental budget approach which is appropriate for budgeting for such revenue items.
- 46.3 The variance is caused by the increase in the number of people that came to book for learners licences. Throughout the financial year the municipality has been conducting traffic awareness campaigns that have assisted in terms of making communities aware of these services rendered by the municipality
- 46.4 The variance is caused by a refund that was received by the municipality from Cape Joint Pension fund and the Credit balances that were realized during the financial year.
- 46.5 The variance is caused by the fact that the municipality had spent most of its budget by the 3rd quarter.
- 46.6 Management implemented the Revenue Enhancement Strategy during the financial year. The objective of this programme is to increase the collection rate and reduce the debtor's book leading to a decrease in interest income from debtor's accounts.
- 46.7 The collection has dropped because of the traffic awareness campaigns that are conducted regularly.
- 46.8 The budget is included under general expenses.
- 46.9 The difference is caused by the reduction on the outstanding balance for finance leases as they will be paid in full by December 2017.
- 46.10 In the current year management over budgeted for repairs and maintenance as the municipality did not channel more funds to the purchase of new plant.
- 46.11 The municipality has under budgeted for the Paraffin and Electricity (Indigent). .
- 46.12 Management has under budgeted for the legal fees and security services. .
- 46.13 This is attributable to de-recognition of Infrastructure Assets as new assets are constructed. The municipality anticipated to have gain when disposing the assets.
- 46.14 There has been an increase in the provision for debt impairment which has led to the decrease in debtor's balances at year end.
- 46.15 The budget for VAT receivables is inleded on the Receivables from non-exchange transactions. Furthermore, there are returns due that have not yet been paid by SARS.
- 46.16 This has been over budgeted for. In the current year the management implemented programmes that ensured that service delivery projects are fast-tracked
- 46.17 This is caused by the reclassification of PPE into investment property during the year.
- 46.18 This is due to de-recognition of intangible assets during the year.
- 46.19 This is caused by the decrease in the outstanding balance for finance leases as the remaining balance will be paid up by December 2017.
- 46.20 This is due to retention liability that was not budgeted for during the current year.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand 2017 2016
Restated *

45. Budget differences (continued)

46.21 The municipality received payments in advance from its rates and services consumers. Due to the nature of this balance the municipality does not budget for it since it is an unlikely occurrence that consumers would overpay for services given the collection rates the municipality has experienced over the years.

46.22 This is budgeted for under payables from exchange transactions. .

46.23 The municipality planned to spend all the conditional grants as per the Capital and Operating budget of the municipality.

46.24 The municipality has over budgeted for this item.

46. Unauthorised expenditure

	40 650	3 794 799
Other		42 694
Fruitless and wasteful expenditure	40 650	3 752 105
47. Fruitless and wasteful expenditure		
Unauthorised expenditure	26 345 663	216 694 962

48. Irregular expenditure

	310 401 252	273 130 799
Less: Amounts condoned	-	-
Add: Irregular Expenditure - current year	37 270 453	115 737 622
Opening balance	273 130 799	157 393 177

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand

2017

2016

Restated *

48. Irregular expenditure (continued)

Details of irregular expenditure - 30 June 2017

	Disciplinary steps taken/criminal proceedings	
The functionality criteria was not clearly defined	1 7 1 1 3	-
as required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA		
regulations for the following service providers		
Timocraft (pty) It	None	-
Cab training academy	None	-
Ningasideli co-operative	None	611 167
ICT Choice	None	-
Vusaken engineering consulting	None	-
Sebata municipal solution	None	13 070
Amabombo Trading CC	None	3 010 197
Qamani Trading Centre	None	178 733
Ipm Plant Hire	None	5 395 138
Igubevu Construction	None	133 000
Imvusa Trading JV Castlehill Trading	None	2 086 428
Imvusa Trading 491	None	4 574 874
Naniswa	None	2 072 527
Zengele's Supply Services	None	4 482 093
Izocon Consortium	None	3 559 645
Naniswa JV	None	6 823 524
Akhanani-Thalami JV	None	3 509 297
Nomzamo Wethu Primary Co-operative	None	310 000
Sonke Solid Waste Primary Co-operative Limited	None	510 760
		37 270 453

learence certificate Remuneration paid to one 3550 42 outcombored contributions with paragraph 16 of one 350 77 overnment Gazzete No. 39548 of 21 overnment Gazzete No. 39548 of				2016 Restated *
Petalls of Irregular expenditure - 30 June 2016 Dening balance 01 July 2015 No valid Tax bearance certificate Remuneration paid to souncillors in contravention with paragraph 16 of one a 3 530 77 500 pourment Cazzete No. 39548 of 21 500 pourment Cazzete No. 39548 of 22				
Disciplinary steps taken/criminal proceedings None Disciplinary steps taken/criminal proceedings None S50 43	8. Irregular expenditure (continued)			
Dening balance 01 July 2015 No valid Tax learned certificate Remuneration paid to valualization in contravention with paragraph 16 of one valualization valu	Details of irregular expenditure - 30 June 2010			
learence certificate Remuneration paid to one one of the procure o	Opening balance 01 July 2015 No valid Tax	Disciplinary steps taken/criminal proceedings None		203 104 139
Sourcement Gazzete No. 39548 of 21 December 2015 The bidder scoring the highest oints was not selected and objective criteria None 191179 was not used to justify that reasonable grounds sist not to selected and objective criteria None 191179 was not used to justify that reasonable grounds sist not to selected and objective criteria None 191179 was not used to justify that reasonable grounds sist not to selected and objective criteria None 191179 was not used to justify that reasonable grounds with the coher organ of state was for None 191179 period from March 2013-February 2015. Mongume Local Municipality only entered into a contract with the other organ of state was for None 191179 period from March 2013-February 2015. Mongume 2015, therefore that other contract was not valid contract with the service provider on 23 June 2015, therefore that other contract was not valid contract at the time 2015, therefore None 16400 was not paragraph 4 of the PPPFA 191179 signalizations he lease agreement had expired, therefore None 16400 were was no valid contract at the time 2015 was incurred 191179 we penditure was not valid contract at the time 291179 we penditure was not with the ender advert 2015 was 191179 we penditure was not with the ender advert 2015 was 191179 we penditure was not with the service provider who met the requirements None 1912 was 191179 we penditure was not evaluation to support 191179 we penditure provider with 191179 we penditure provider with 191179 we penditure was not evaluation to support 191179 we penditure provider with 191179 we penditure was not evaluation to support 191179 we penditure was not evaluation to support 191179 we penditure was not evaluation to suppor	learence certificate Remuneration paid to	one		550 43
ionits was not selected and objective criteria was not used to justify that reasonable grounds was not used to justify that reasonable grounds with the variety of the tender with the highest obits he contract with the other organ of state was for None 56 19 period from March 2013-February 2015. Independent of the contract with the service provider on 23 June ontract with the service provider on 23 June of 15, therefore that other contract was not valid to the time of appointment he functionality criteria was not clearly defined in the time of appointment had expired, therefore in None in the functionality criteria was not valid to the time of appointment had expired, therefore in None in the functionality of the Season of valid contract at the time in the ready of the Season of the	Sovernment Gazzete No. 39548 of 21	uile		3 530 77
vas not used to justify that reasonable grounds vixts not to select the tender with the highest solints he contract with the other organ of state was for None period from March 2013-February 2015. Anguma Local Municipality only entered into a contract with the service provider on 23 June 2015, therefore that other contract was not valid the time of appointment the functionality criteria was not clearly defined None se required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA segulations so required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA segulations he lease agreement had expired, therefore None here was no valid contract at the time of appointment he functionality criteria was not clearly defined None serquired by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA segulations he lease agreement had expired, therefore None here was no valid contract at the time xpenditure was incurred he procurement of goods' works' services were None leitherately split into parts or items of lesser value nerely to avoid complying with the squirements of the SCM policy/SCM segulations. he service provider who met the requirements None 1 708 78 requirements of the SCM policy/SCM segulations. he service provider who met the requirements None 1 708 78 requirements of the SCM policy/SCM segulations. he winning supplier did not submit a declaration None 845 35 requirements of SCM representative in the BAC None 821 100 81 representative in the BAC None 822 100 81 representative in the BAC None 823 100 81 representative in the BAC None 824 100 81 representative in the BAC None 825 150 81 representa		None		1 911 79
The contract with the other organ of state was for None period from March 2013-February 2015. Inquirial Local Municipality only entered into a contract with the service provider on 23 June 0015, therefore that other contract was not valid to the time of appointment for functionality criteria was not clearly defined None so required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA egulations fine lease agreement had expired, therefore None 16 400 enere was no valid contract at the time yeapenditure was incurred he procurement of goods/ works/ services were None eliberbarley split into parts or items of lesser value enerely to avoid complying with the guiterments of the SCM policy/SCM egulations. The service provider who met the requirements None in the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert and 80/20 therefore this should have been redwertised. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None 22 100 effecting his contract is for more than R200 000.00 but it None as not procured through a Competitive Bidding rocess and there was no SCM representative in the BAC None 10 195 53 tleast three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid rocess was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 29. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government current year subscription / fee	vas not used to justify that reasonable grounds			
period from March 2013-February 2015. Indiquina Local Municipality only entered into a ontract with the service provider on 23 June 2015, therefore that other contract was not valid it the time of appointment the functionality criteria was not clearly defined None is required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA sequilations. In least new and a season of the service provider on 25 June 2015, therefore that other contract was not valid it the time of appointment of goods. Works/ services were None least new as incurred the procurement of goods/ works/ services were None leliberately split into parts or items of lesser value enerely to avoid complying with the sequirements of the SCM policy/SCM segulations. In 1708 78 and 80/20 therefore this should have been redvertised. In the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the requirements None for the service provider who met the serv		N		56 10
contract with the service provider on 23 June 1015, therefore that other contract was not valid at the time of appointment he functionality criteria was not clearly defined to required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA segulations he lease agreement had expired, therefore here was no valid contract at the time expenditure was incurred here was no valid contract at the time expenditure was incurred here procurement of goods/ works/ services were None here was no valid complying with the equirements of the SCM policy/SCM egulations. he service provider who met the requirements None for the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been re- divertised. here is no B-BBEE certificate attached here was no SCM representative in the BAC None for the winning supplier did not submit a declaration None for interest. here is no Brabes and there was no SCM representative in the BAC None for interest. here is no brocured through a Competitive Bidding rocess and there was no deviation to support ins. This was procured as a Small Bid Viritten price quotations were not obtained from None least three different prospective supplers. Viritten price quotations were not obtained from None least three different prospective supplers. Viritten price quotations were not obtained from None least three different prospective supplers. Viritten price quotations were not obtained from None least three different prospective supplers. Viritten price quotations were not obtained from None least three different prospective supplers. Viritten price quotations were not obtained from None least three different prospective supplers. Viritten price quotations were not obtained from None least three different prospective supplers. Viritten price quotations were not obtained from None least three different prospective supplers. None least three different prospective supplers	period from March 2013-February 2015.	r None		30 19
2015, therefore that other contract was not valid it the time of appointment he functionality criteria was not clearly defined None is required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA egulations. The lease agreement had expired, therefore None here was no valid contract at the time expenditure was incurred he procurement of goods/ works/ services were None heliberately split into parts or items of lesser value enerely to avoid complying with the equirements of the SCM policy/SCM egulations. The service provider who met the requirements None for the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been reducent events of interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None for interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None sort procured through a Competitive Bidding rocess and there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid Virtlen price quotations were not obtained from None tleast three different prospective suppliers. Written price quotations were not obtained from None tleast three different prospective suppliers. Wo locumentation to prove that a competitive Bidding rocess and telefferent prospective suppliers. Wo locumentation to prove that a competitive Bidding rocess was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government current year subscription / fee 10 10 551 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				
the functionality criteria was not clearly defined None is required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA egulations he lease agreement had expired, therefore None here was no valid contract at the time expenditure was incurred he procurement of goods/ works/ services were None leliberately split into parts or items of lesser value nerely to avoid complying with the equirements of the SCM policy/SCM equilations. he service provider who met the requirements None of the the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been re- divertised. here is no B-BBEE certificate attached None here was no SCM representative in the BAC None here is no B-BBEE certificate attached None finiterest. here is no B-BBEE certificate attached None finite son SCM representative in the BAC None finite son SCM representative in the BAC None finite son because of the service of t	015, therefore that other contract was not valid			
is required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA egulations he lease agreement had expired, therefore None here was no valid contract at the time xpenditure was incurred the procurement of goods/ works/ services were None deliberately split into parts or items of lesser value herely to avoid complying with the equirements of the SCM policy/SCM egulations. he service provider who met the requirements None for the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been redvertised. he winning supplier did not submit a declaration None for iterest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None here was no SCM representative in the BAC None feeting his contract is for more than R200 000.00 but it None was not procured through a Competitive Bidding process and there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None to least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid process was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government coursent subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2		None		54 046 01
here was no valid contract at the time expenditure was incurred here procurement of goods/ works/ services were None leliberately split into parts or items of lesser value herely to avoid complying with the equirements of the SCM policy/SCM egulations. he service provider who met the requirements None of the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been reduvertised. he winning supplier did not submit a declaration None of interest. here is no B-BBEE certificate attached of None of there was no SCM representative in the BAC None of the san of procured through a Competitive Bidding rocess and there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid Viritten price quotations were not obtained from one to least three different prospective suppliers. No hone to test three different prospective suppliers. 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act contributions to organised local government course subscription / fee	s required by paragraph 4 of the PPPFA			
Expenditure was incurred The procurement of goods/ works/ services were None leliberately split into parts or items of lesser value nerely to avoid complying with the sequirements of the SCM policy/SCM sequirements of the SCM policy/SCM sequirements of the service provider who met the requirements None If the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been re- devertised. The winning supplier did not submit a declaration None If interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None Interest and procured through a Competitive Bidding This contract is for more than R200 000.00 but it None It least three different prospective suppliers. Written price quotations were not obtained from None It least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bidding forcess and three was reproducted through a Competitive Bidding forcess was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 10 10 595 1 521 2		None		16 40
The procurement of goods/ works/ services were None leliberately split into parts or items of lesser value nerely to avoid complying with the equirements of the SCM policy/SCM egulations. The service provider who met the requirements None of the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been redevertised. The winning supplier did not submit a declaration None of interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None of interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate atta				
nerely to avoid complying with the equirements of the SCM policy/SCM equilations. The service provider who met the requirements None of the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert adia 80/20 therefore this should have been redvertised. The winning supplier did not submit a declaration None of it nerest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None certificate was no SCM representative in the BAC None certificate was no SCM representative in the BAC None certificate was no through a Competitive Bidding crocess and there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid viriten price quotations were not obtained from None at least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bidding crocess was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act contributions to organised local government.	he procurement of goods/ works/ services were			5 17
equirements of the SCM policy/SCM egulations. The service provider who met the requirements None of the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been redovertised. The winning supplier did not submit a declaration None of interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None 221 02 150 81 More was no SCM representative in the BAC None 52 150 81 More was no SCM representative in the BAC None 81 126 61 was not procured through a Competitive Bidding process and there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid Virtten price quotations were not obtained from None wit least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bidding process was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 10 195 53 150 81 1		е		
The service provider who met the requirements None of the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been redvertised. The winning supplier did not submit a declaration None of interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None 221 02 or here is no B-BBEE certificate attached None 52 150 81 or here was no SCM representative in the BAC None 62 150 81 or here was no SCM representative in the BAC None 75 150 81 or here was no there was no deviation to support with such that there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid vortees and there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid vortees and there different prospective suppliers. Written price quotations were not obtained from None 10 195 53 to least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid vorcess was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government	equirements of the SCM policy/SCM			
If the tender quoted over R1m and the tender advert aid 80/20 therefore this should have been redivertised. The winning supplier did not submit a declaration None of interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None 221 02 150 81 161 161 161 161 161 161 161 161 161		None		1 708 78
Advertised. The winning supplier did not submit a declaration None of interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None 221 02 150 81 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	f the tender quoted over R1m and the tender ad			
The winning supplier did not submit a declaration None of finterest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There is no B-BBEE certificate attached There is no B-BEE certificate attached				
In interest. There is no B-BBEE certificate attached None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None To 150 81 There was no SCM representative in the BAC None To 160 81 There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no SCM representative in the BAC None There was no text of the SCM representative in the BAC None There was no text of the SCM representative in the BAC None There was no text of the SCM representative in the BAC None There was no text of the SCM representative in the BAC None There was not present in the BAC Non		None		845 35
There was no SCM representative in the BAC None Meeting This contract is for more than R200 000.00 but it None was not procured through a Competitive Bidding verocess and there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None tleast three different prospective suppliers. Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None tleast three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid vrocess was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2		HAOHE		
Meeting This contract is for more than R200 000.00 but it None was not procured through a Competitive Bidding various and there was no deviation to support his was procured as a Small Bid Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None t least three different prospective suppliers. Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None t least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid various was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2				
This contract is for more than R200 000.00 but it None was not procured through a Competitive Bidding wrocess and there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None 10 195 53 at least three different prospective suppliers. Written price quotations were not obtained from None 299 04 at least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid process was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	one		52 150 81
Arocess and there was no deviation to support his. This was procured as a Small Bid Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None It least three different prospective suppliers. Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None It least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid process was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2		None		1 126 61
his. This was procured as a Small Bid Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None It least three different prospective suppliers. Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None It least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid process was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2				
Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None 10 195 53 It least three different prospective suppliers. Vritten price quotations were not obtained from None 299 04 It least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid process was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 P. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2				
th least three different prospective suppliers. Written price quotations were not obtained from None 299 04 at least three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid process was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2		None		10 195 53
the last three different prospective suppliers. No locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid process was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2	t least three different prospective suppliers.			000.04
locumentation to prove that a competitive Bid process was followed or a Small Bid occurred. 329 768 12 9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2		None		299 04
9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2				
9. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2				
Contributions to organised local government Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2			=	329 768 12
Current year subscription / fee 110 595 1 521 2	9. Additional disclosure in terms of Munici	pal Finance Management Act		
, , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Contributions to organised local government			
	Current year subscription / fee		110 595	1 521 2
			(110 595)	(1 521 26

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017		
Figures in Rand	2017	2016 Restated *
49. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act (continued)		
Audit fees		
Opening balance Current year subscription / fee Amount paid - current year	2 066 3 895 855 (3 804 779)	46 561 4 822 193 (4 866 688)
7 illouit pala Carlott you	93 142	2 066
PAYE, SDL and UIF		
Opening balance Current year subscription / fee Amount paid - current year	28 656 125 (26 332 375) 2 323 750	1 803 238 26 198 316 (28 001 554)
Pension and Medical Aid Deductions		
Opening balance Current year subscription / fee Amount paid - current year	42 614 138 (38 899 533) 3 714 605	2 049 824 40 522 337 (42 572 161)
VAT		
Opening balance Amount received/paid Amount claimed - current year VAT Adjustment	10 863 090 (27 088 788) 22 321 889 - 6 096 191	3 528 671 (5 669 490) 13 414 086 (410 177) 10 863 090
VAT receivable		
V/VI IGGGIVADIG	6 096 192	10 863 090

All VAT returns have been submitted by the due date throughout the year.

Supply chain management regulations

In terms of section 36 of the Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations any deviation from the Supply Chain Management Policy needs to be approved/condoned by the City Manager and noted by Council. The expenses incurred as listed hereunder have been condoned.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand

2017

2016

Restated *

50. Deviation from supply chain management regulations

монтн	DEPARTMENT	GOODS/SERVICE S REQUESTED	SERVICE PROVIDER	REASON FOR DEVIATION	AMOUNT
JULY	BTO - FLEET	Procurement for service - MNQUM 3 EC	Buffalo Toyota - east London	The vehicle is the product of Buffalo Toyota and is due for service. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only.	R2,776.90
JULY	вто	Procurement of Stamps	S.A Post Office	S.A Post Office is the only company dealing with stamps. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only.	R2,7410
JULY	BTO- FLEET	Procurement of Service - HBN 425 EC	Fleet Dynamics	The vehicle is the product of Fleet Dynamics and is due for service. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider	R4,430.74
JULY	BTO- FLEET	Procurement of Service - FCX 277 EC	Buffalo Toyota - East London	The vehicle is the product of Buffalo Toyota and is due for service. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider	R5,753.20
JULY	BTO- FLEET	Procurement for Repairs - FCX 595 EC	Buffalo Toyota - East London	The vehicle is the product of Buffalo Toyota and it has a problem with power. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider	R10,683.75
JULY	вто	License Renewal	CQS Technology	The Institution is current using the software from CQS Technology Holding; Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of the SCM regulation 36(1)(a) (v) that allows the accounting officer to deviate in any exceptional case where it is impractical or impossible to follow the official procurement processes	R6,7891.08
JULY	BTO -FLEET	Procurement for repairing hydraulic leakage for HBN 412 EC	TFM Manufacturin g - East London	The above mentioned truck has a hydraulic leakage problem and TFM Manufacturing – East London is the only company that is dealing with hydraulic leakage. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only.	R9,895.20

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand

2017

2016

Restated 50. Deviation from supply chain management regulations (continued)

JULY BTO-FLEET Procurement of Buffalo IThe vehic The vehicle is the product of Buffalo Toyota R3,873.10 service - HML 534 and is due for service. Therefore the reason Toyota - east EC for deviation is based in terms of SCM London regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only. The vehicle is the product of Buffalo Toyota JULY Buffalo вто Procurement of R6.845.05 service for FCx Toyota - east and is due for service. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM 591 EC London regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only The Compactor Truck is the product of Fleet BTO Procurement of Fleet R18,360.43 August New Starter - HBN **Dynamics** Dynamics and it needs a new starter as the 412 EC oldis not repairable. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only August вто Repairs for FCX Competition R2.490.90 565 EC Motors The motor vehicle mentioned above is very important for the smooth operations of the municipality and therefore it needs to be repaired as a matter of urgency. As the problem with the car is unknown, it is impossible to obtain quotations as the car needs to be stripped apart first before the mechanics can diagnose the problem. We therefore have taken it to a mechanical shop in our database for them to repair it and deviated from the normal SCM processes. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of the SCM regulation 36(1) (a)(v) that allows the accounting officer to deviate in any exceptional case where it is impractical or impossible to follow the official procurement processes The TLB is the product of Bell Equipment August вто rocurement of R2.2363.02 and is due for service. Therefore the reason Servicing TLB -Equipment HLH 282 EC for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provi<u>der only.</u> The Hauler Roller is the product of Bell August вто Service for Hauler Bell R11,562.31 Equipment and is due for service. Therefore Roller Equipment the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only The Grader is the product of Bell Equipment August вто Procurement of Bell R24,337.23 and is due for service. Therefore the reason Servicing Grader -Equipment HKP 022 EC for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand 2017 2016 Restated *

August	IBTO	oly chain management re IProcurement of	Buffalo	The Motor Vehicle is the product of Buffalo	R4,917.45
agaot	[5.0	Service- FCX 571	Toyota- East	Toyota- East London and is due for service.	,
		EC	London	Therefore the reason for deviation is based	
			London	in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that	
				allows the accounting officer to deviate when	
				goods or services are produced or available	
				from a single provider only.	==
August	вто	Service- MNQUM	Buffalo _	The Motor Vehicle is the product of Buffalo	R5,362.10
		5 EC	Toyota- East	Toyota- East London and is due for service.	
			London	Therefore the reason for deviation is based	
				in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that	
				allows the accounting officer to deviate when	
				goods or services are produced or available	
				from a single provider only.	
August	вто	Service for FCX	Buffalo	The vehicle is the product of Buffalo Toyota -	R6,228.95
		568 EC	Toyota- East	East London and due for service. Therefore	,
			London	the reason for deviation is based in terms of	
				SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
	1		1	services are produced or available from a	
				•	
Λ	DTO	O-mio (FOY	0	single provider only.	D0 500 70
August	вто	Service for FCX	Competition	The motor vehicle mentioned above is very	R3,539.70
	1	277 EC	Motors	important for the smooth operations of the	
	1		1	municipality and it is due for service.	
				therefore we have taken it to a mechanical	
				shop in our database for service and	
				deviated from the normal SCM processes.	
				Therefore the reason for deviation is based	
				in terms of the SCM regulation 36(1)(a)(v)	
				that allows the accounting officer to deviate	
				in any exceptional case where it is	
				impractical or impossible to follow the official	
				procurement processes	
August	вто	Service for Grader	Bell	The Grader is the product of Bell Equipment	R24,337.23
August	ыо	– HKP 016 EC	Equipment	and is due for service. Therefore the reason	N24,331.23
		- TIKE OTO EC	Equipment		
				for deviation is based in terms of SCM	
				regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
				single provider only.	
August	вто	Procurement of	Buffalo	The toyota corrola is the product of of Buffalo	R2,664
		service for FCX	Toyota- East	Toyota- East London and is due for service.	
		571 EC	London	Therefore the reason for deviation is based	
				in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a)(ii) that	
				allows the accounting officer to deviate when	
				goods or services are produced or available	
	1		1	from a single provider only.	
August	ВТО	Drocurement of	Malcomess		R16,260.40
August	BIO	Procurement of		The Motor Vehicle is the product of	110,200.40
	1	Service – DKS 036	remp	Malcomess Temp and is due for service.	
	1	EC	1	Therefore the reason for deviation is based	
				in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that	
				allows the accounting officer to deviate when	
				goods or services are produced or available	
	1		1	from a single provider only.	
August	ВТО	Procurement of	Bell	The Roller is the product of Bell Equipment	R7,900.20
	[Repairs – Grid	Equipment	and it needs repairs. Therefore the reason	.,
		Roller	_ 40.5	for deviation is based in terms of SCM	
		TOILO		regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
	1				
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
	1		I	single provider only.	1

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand

2017

2016

Restated ' 50. Deviation from supply chain management regulations (continued)

August | BTO | Repairs for FCX | Competition | This mot Competition This motor vehicle mentioned above is very R33,402 568 EC Motors important for the smooth operations of the municipality and therefore it needs to be repaired as a matter of urgency. As the problem with the car is unknown, it is impossible to obtain quotations as the car needs to be stripped apart first before the mechanics can diagnose the problem. We therefore have taken it to a mechanical shop in our database for them to repair it and deviated from the normal SCM processes. Gads Construction, Plumbing & Other Procurement of a R125,717.50 August Infrastructure Gads service provider for Construction, Trading (Pty) Ltd was appointed by the institution to provide plumbing services in five Plumbing services Plumbing & Other Trading (5) municipal buildings as the backup (Pty) Ltd systems as the area was declared as one faced with drought disaster in the district and currently we are faced with serious water challenges; but due to time constraints and the need for the service so as to keep the business operations intact in the institution, it was therefore impractical to follow SCM processes. The reason for deviation is based in terms of the SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(i) that allows the accounting officer to deviate only when in emergency cases
This motor vehicle mentioned above is very August вто Repairs for FCX Competition R43,730.40 571 EC important for the smooth operations of the Motors municipality and therefore it needs to be repaired as a matter of urgency. As the problem with the car is unknown, it is impossible to obtain quotations as the car needs to be stripped apart first before the mechanics can diagnose the problem. We therefore have taken it to a mechanical shop in our database for them to repair it and deviated from the normal SCM processes Service for FGR вто -leet The Skip truck is the product of Fleet August R13,563.96 541 FC Dynamics and is due for service. Therefore **Dvnamics** the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only. SEPTEMB вто Service and Fleet The Truck is the product of Fleet Dynamics R23,887.83 and is due for service. Therefore the reason Repairs for HBN **Dynamics** 428 EC for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only SEPTEMB вто Replacement of Fleet The motor vehicle is the product of Fleet R330,600 Dynamic. The reason for deviation is based Dynamic FR engine to a in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that compactor truck-HRD494EC allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or avaliable from a single service provider

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand

2017

2016

Restated *

	on from supply	chain management	regulations (c		
SEPTEMB	ВТО	Repairs for	Goscor Hi-	The Manlifter is the product of Goscor Hi-	R22,322
ER		charging Box -	Reach	Reach and it needs repairs for charging box.	
		FRP 064 EC		Therefore the reason for deviation is based	
				in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that	
				allows the accounting officer to deviate when	
				goods or services are produced or available	
				from a single provider only	
SEPTEMB	COMMUNITY	Procurement of	Masakhane	Due to lack of capacity on security	R298,400.16
ER	SERVICES	Close Protection	Security	management within the institution to provide	
		Security Services	Services	services of a close protection security	
				services, it is important to procure servis of	
				the private security to assist on providing	
				such service to minimize the risk and	
				safeguarding of municipal assets and	
				persnnel, the resoan for deviation is based in	
				terms of regulation 36(i)a(i) that allows the	
				accounting officer to drviate only when in	
				emergency cases	
October	ВТО	Service and	Buffalo	The vehicle is the product of Fleet Dynamics	R6,964.80
		Repairs for	Toyota	and is due for service. Therefore the reason	
		MNQUM 3 EC		for deviation is based in terms of SCM	
				regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
				single provider only	
October	ВТО	Repairs for	Buffalo	The vehicle is the product of Fleet Dynamics	R6,439.75
		FCX277 EC	Toyota	and is due for service. Therefore the reason	
				for deviation is based in terms of SCM	
				regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
				single provider only	
October	ВТО	Service and	Ronnies	The truck is the product of Ronnies Motors	R30,233.10
		Repairs - DHL	Motors	and is due for service. Therefore the reason	
		870 EC (FUSO		for deviation is based in terms of SCM	
		Truck)		regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
				single provider only	
October	вто	Repairs for Grid	Bell	The Grid Roller is the product of Bell	R43,758.67
		Roller	Equipment	Equipment and it needs repairs. Therefore	
				the reason for deviation is based in terms of	
				SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
				single provider only.	
October	вто	Repairs FCX 568	Competition	The motor vehicle mention above needs to	R5,175.60
		EC	Motors	be repaired as matter of ugency. We	
				therefore have taken it to a mechanical shop	
				in our database and deviated from the SCM	ĺ
				process	
October	ВТО	Repairs FNN 788	Kemach	The vehicle is the product of Kemach	R38,826.48
		EC	Equipment	Equipmen and it needs repairs. Therefore	
				the reason for deviation is based in terms of	
				SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
		ĺ	1	single provider only.	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand

2017

2016

Restated *

50. Deviation from supply chain management regulations (continued)

October	ВТО	Repairs for Vehicle HML 537 EC		The vehicler is the product of Fleet Dynamic and it needs repairs. Therefore the reason	R5,672.55
		I IIVIL 337 EC	Toyota	for deviation is based in terms of SCM	
				regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
				single provider only.	
October	ВТО	Repairs for Grid	Kemach	The Grid Roller is the product of Kemach	R43,758.67
October	БТО	Roller	Equipment	Equipmen and it needs repairs. Therefore	1145,750.07
		TOHOI	Equipmont	the reason for deviation is based in terms of	
				SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
				single provider only.	
NOVEMBE	BTO - FLEET	Procurement for	Service for	The vehicle is the product of Fleet Dynamics	R29,030.80
R	BIO-FLEET	service - MNQUM	HBN 412 EC	and it is due for service. Therefore the	K29,030.60
11		3 EC	I IDIN 412 LO	reason for deviation is based in terms of	
				SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	
				single provider only.	
December	Corporate	Vouchers for	REBOSIS	The reason for deviation is in terms of the	R296,000
_ 000.11001	Services	Performance	PROPERTY	SCM regulation 36(1) (a) (v) that allows the	
	•	Awards	FUND LTD	accounting officer to deviate in any	
		, marao	T/A	exceptional case where it is impractical or	
			Hemingways	impossible to follow the official procurement	
			Shopping	processes. These vouchers are only	
			Centre	available at REBOSIS PROPERTY FUND	
			Contro	LTD T/A Hemingways Shopping Centre so it	
				is impractical to evaluate the suppliers based	
				on any other criteria	
JANUARY	ВТО	Replacement of	Bell	The Grader is the product of Bell Equipment	R17,004.10
0, (0, (5.0	cutting edge for	Equipment	and it needs replacement of cutting edge.	,001.10
		Grader – HKP 016		Therefore the reason for deviation is based	
		EC		in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) when	
				goods or services are produced or available	
				from a single provider only	
JANUARY	ВТО	Replacement of	Bell	The Grader is the product of Bell Equipment	R17,004.10
		cutting edge for	Equipment	and it needs replacement of cutting edge.	
		Grader – HKP 022		Therefore the reason for deviation is based	
		EC		in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) when	
				goods or services are produced or available	
				from a single provider only.	
JANUARY	ВТО	Repairs of Grid	Fleet	The Compactor truck is the product of Fleet	R4,200.27
	'-	Roller	Dynamics	Dynamics and it needs reconditioning of an	,, <u>-</u> .
			'	engine. Therefore the reason for deviation is	
				based in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii)	
				when goods or services are produced or	
				available from a single provider only.	
JANUARY	вто	Repairs for Grader	Bell	The Grader is the product of Bell Equipment	R29,050.16
		- HKP 022 EC	Equipment	and it needs replacement of a rim. Therefore	· ·
			I	the reason for deviation is based in terms of	
				SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) when goods or	
				services are produced or available from a	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand

2017

2016

Restated * 50. Deviation from supply chain management regulations (continued)

JANUARY | STRATEGIC | | Belle's Guest | Belle's G Belle's Guest House is the only venue that is R69,000 Conference Hall House available on the mentioned dates of the for Management workshop which has a Conference Hall that Workshop on accommodate more than 100 people; Municipal Policies Therefore the reason for deviation is based and By-Laws in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only. JANUARY вто The tipper truck is the product of Fleet R33,946.58 Repairs for Tipper Fleet Truck - FLW 699 Dynamic-East London and it needs to be **Dvnamics** FC repaired Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only The Manlifter is the product of Goscar Hi-JANUARY вто Procurement for Goscar Hi-R26,498.16 four new batteries Reach and it needs replacement of batteries Reach and freight and freight charge. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM charges-FRP 064 regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only. JANUARY STRATEGIC Belle's Guest Belles Guest House is the only venue that is R40,500 Conference Hall available on the mentioned dates of the House workshop which has a conference Hall that for Technical accommodate more than 100 people; working session Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36(i) (a) (ii) that follows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider FEBRUAR вто The Tractor is the product of Malcom Temp Repairs for Tractor Malcomess R2,880.20 Temp Agri Agri and is due for service. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only FEBRUAR вто Service for Grader Bell The Grader is the product of Bell Equipment R13.349.35 and is due for service. Therefore the reason - HLH 016 EC Equipment for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only. FEBRUAR The TLB is the product of Bell Equipment BTO Repairs for TLB -R11.009.32 HLH 282 EC Equipment and is due for service. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only FEBRUAR ВТО Service for Grader The Grader is the product of Bell Equipment R14,384.02 HKP 022 EC and is due for service. Therefore the reason Equipment for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Turida i manda Gialomento foi me year enada ee dane 2011		
Figures in Rand	2017	2016
		Restated *

50. Deviation from supply chain management regulations (continued)

		chain management r		,	In
March	ВТО	Service for a Truck FNY 763 EC	Peugair Border cc	The vehicle is the product of Fleet Dynamics and is due for service. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a gingle provider only.	R44,392.90
	570	0 . (=1.5	B 11	single provider only	540 405 00
March	ВТО	Service of TLB - 282 EC	Bell Equipment	The TLB is the product of Bell Equipment and is due for service. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only	R10,125.09
March	ВТО	Service of Pathfinder -HDP 583 EC	Automall Nissan	The vehicle is the product of Automall Nissan and is due for service. Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36 (i) (a) (ii) that allows the accounting officer to deviate when goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only	R11,185.4
APRIL	CORPORATE	Evaluation of 32 Job Descriptions for Managers with Task Team	Delloitte	A Supply Chain Management policy allow the accounting officer to dispense with the official procurement processes established by the policy and to procure any required goods or services through any convinient process, which may include direct negotiation, if such goods or services are produced or available from a single provider. Delloitte Consulting is the proprietor of and the hold all interctual property and other proprietary rights to the T.A.S.K job evaluation system, including the consulting services relationg thereto. Accordingly, no other service provider is permitted to licenseor generally provide consulting services in relation to the T.A.S.K job evaluation system.	
APRIL	ВТО	Repairs for Medium Truck – HBN 428	Fleety Dynamics	The Medium truck is the product of Fleet Dynamics and it needs repairs. It is impractical to follow procurement scm processes due to the fact that the repairs are to be done by Fleet Dynamics. Therefore reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(v) that allows the accounting officer to deviate in any other exceptional case where it is impractical or impossible to follow the official procurement processes	R7,936.79
May	Corporate Services	Tuition Fees for Ms Wakashe Studying Btech in Management	Walter Sisulu University	Walter Sisulu University is the local Institution, where the learner has registered to further her studies for BTech in Management. The student is enrolled at Walter Sisulu University and has been awarded a bursary by the Municipality; Therefore the reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) that the accounting officer to deviate in any other exceptional case where it is impractical or impossible to follow the official procurement processes.	R17,860

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017 Figures in Rand 2017 2016 Restated *

May	Corporate	chain management re Tuition Fees for	Silulo Ulutho	Silulo Ulutho Technologies is the local	R4,500
,	Services	Cllr Skelenge	Technologies	service provider, where the learner has	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
		Studying End User		registered to further his studies for End Eser	
		Computing		Compiting. The student is enrolled at Silulo	
				Ulutho Technologies and has been awarded	
				a bursary by the Municipality; Therefore the	
				reason for deviation is based in terms of	
				SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) that the	
				accounting officer to deviate in any other	
				exceptional case where it is impractical or	
				impossible to follow the official procurement	
				1	
N 4	DTO Financial	Tarinia a at Oak ata	0-14-	processes.	D4.054.0
May	BTO-Financial	Training of Sebata	Sebata	Sebata is the proprietor that is currently	R4,651.2
	Reporting			offering the EMS system for Mnquma Local	
				Municipality, Sebata has rolled out training	
				for the Sebata EMS Training for SCM, Assets	
				and Inventory modules; Therefore the reason	
				for deviation is based in terms of SCM	
				regulation 36(i)(a)(ii) that the accounting	
				officer to deviate in any other exceptional	
				case where it is impractical or impossible to	
				follow the official procurement processes.	
June	ВТО	Services for	Bell	The Motor Grader is the product of Bell	R20,900.42
		Grader - HKP 022	Equipment	Equipment and is due for service. It is	,
		EC	' '	impractical to follow procurement scm	
				processes due to the fact that it needs to be	
				serviced at Bell Equipment. Therefore reason	
				for deviation is based in terms of SCM	
				regulation 36(i)(a)(v) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate in any other	
				exceptional case where it is impractical or	
				impossible to follow the official procurement	
				processes	
Tuna	ВТО	Comissos for	Bell	2	D40 744 45
June	вто	Services for	Equipment	The Motor Grader is the product of Bell	R19,741.15
		Grader - HKP 016	Equipment	Equipment and is due for service. It is	
		EC		impractical to follow procurement scm	
				processes due to the fact that it needs to be	
				serviced at Bell Equipment. Therefore reason	
				for deviation is based in terms of SCM	
				regulation 36(i)(a)(v) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate in any other	
				exceptional case where it is impractical or	
				impossible to follow the official procurement	
				processes	
June	9BTO	New Radiator for	Fleet	FleetThe Motor Grader is the product of Fleet	R16,532.60
		HBN 424 EC	Dynamics	Dynamics and is due for service. It is	
				impractical to follow procurement scm	
				processes due to the fact that it needs to be	
				serviced at Fleet Dynamics. Therefore	
				reason for deviation is based in terms of	
				SCM regulation 36(i)(a)(v) that allows the	
				accounting officer to deviate in any other	
				exceptional case where it is impractical or	
				impossible to follow the official procurement	
				processes Dynamics	
			Į	processes by namics	

Annual Finar	ncial Statements fo	or the year ended 30	June 2017		
Figures in Ra	and			2017	2016 Restated *
		nain management r			IDOS 000
June	ВТО	Towing and repairs of the brake system for lowbed trailer-FWR	TFM Manufacturin g	The brake system of a lowbed trailer for FWR 647 EC is the product of TFM Manufacturing needs to be towed and repaired at TFM Manufacturing and need to be towed and repair. It is impractical to follow procurement scm processes due to the fact that it needs to be serviced at TFM Manufactures. Therefore reason for deviation is based in terms of SCM regula	R25,308
Grand Total					R2,292,967

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

As at 30 June 2017, the municipality had the following contigent assets and contigent liabilities: Cases against the municipality Case 1087/08 Langulabantu Construction vs Municipality Claim for construction done and not paid. Case pending awaling trial date from the Register of the High Court (File 14/Ho80) Case 1152/07 Atlas Construction vs Municipality Case No. Latter of demand A.K. Gipla vs Mnquma Municipality Case No. Latter of demand A.K. Gipla vs Mnquma Municipality Case No. 144/2012 Thozamile Kenneth Semekazl vs Mnquma Municipality Case No. 803/2013-D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Case No. 803/2013-D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the Municipality for damages after his car was impounded by traffic Officer Ngalo. Filed notice on intention to defend. Plainiffs Attorneys are yet to furnish us with the local address for service of further pleadings. Case No. 803/2013-D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for furneral seprenses. Filed George vs Eskom &Mnquma Local Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for furneral seprenses. Filed flowarm vs Mnquma Municipality and the furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 2503/2012 Bungle Maxam vs Mnquma Municipality A Others Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and deletion Exchanging pleadings Case No. 804/12 MC: Slyephu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and deletion Exchanging pleadings Case No. 804/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading	Figures in Rand	2017	2016 Restated *
Cases against the municipality Case 1087/08 Langulabantu Construction vs Municipality Claim for construction done and not paid. Case pending awaiting trial date from the Register of the High Court (File 14/16/68) Case 1152/07 Atlas Construction vs Municipality Case 1152/07 Atlas Construction vs Municipality Case 1152/07 Atlas Construction vs Municipality Claim for services rendered. No turther action by the applicant, file closed until 238 572 238 572 further action taken. (File 14/16/76) Case No Letter of demand A.K. Gijba vs Mnquma Municipality Claim for monies not paid after retirement. On trial and proceeding with evidence Case No 74/40/21 Thocamille Kenneth Semekazi vs Mnquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Case No. 803/2012 Buylie George vs Eskom & Mnquma Local Municipality Case No. RC 539/2012 Buylie George vs Eskom & Mnquma Local Municipality Case No. RC 539/2012 Buylie George vs Eskom & Mnquma Municipality Case No. 2524/2012: Bongile Maxam vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and detention. Exchanging pleadings. Case No. 3472 MC : Siyephu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and detention. Exchanging pleadings. Case No. 3474 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality Case No. 3474 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality Case No. 9743 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local M	51. Contingencies		
Case 1087/08 Langulabantu Construction vs Municipality Claim for construction done and not paid. Case pending awaiting trial date from the Register of the High Court (File 14/16/76) Case 1152/07 Atlas Construction vs Municipality Case 1152/07 Atlas Construction vs Municipality Claim for services rendered. No further action by the applicant, file closed until 238 572 238 572 further action taken. (File 14/16/76) Claim for monies not paid after retirement. On trial and proceeding with evidence Case No 144/2012 Thozamile Kenneth Semekazi vs Minquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Minquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Minquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Minquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed site die. Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Minquma Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings. Case No. 2524/2012: Bongile Maxam vs Minquma Municipality Case No. 2524/2012: Bongile Maxam vs Minquma Municipality Case No. 2524/2012: Bongile Maxam vs Minquma Municipality & Others Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest, defendings Case No. 84/12 MC: Siyrephu vs Minquma Municipality & Others Claim for demages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial Case No. 90/13: Thamsanqa Macatsulwa vs Minquma Municipality Case No. 90/13: Thamsanqa Macatsulwa vs Minquma Municipality Case No. 90/13: Thamsanqa Macatsulwa vs Minquma Claim of demages as a result base of washing to a plaintiff's immovable property. Exchan	As at 30 June 2017, the municipality had the following contigent assets and contigent liabilities	s:	
Claim for construction done and not paid. Case pending awaiting trial date from the Register of the High Court (File 14/16/68) Case 1152/07 Atlas Construction vs Municipality Claim for services rendered. No further action by the applicant, file closed until (288 572) Claim for mories rendered. No further action by the applicant, file closed until (288 572) Claim for mories not paid after retirement. On trial and proceeding with evidence - 9100 Case No Letter of demand A.K. Ggiba vs Minquma Municipality Claim for mories not paid after retirement. On trial and proceeding with evidence - 9100 Case No 140/2012 Thozamila (Kenneth Semekazi vs Minquma Municipality) Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed since die. Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Minquina Municipality Claim for lotter on intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with the local address for service of further pleadings Case No. 803/2013 - D. Poncana vs Minquina Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 2524/2012 : Bongile Maxam vs Minquina Municipality Sung the municipality for unlawful arrest, detention and assault by traffic officers. Filed of intention to defend. Further pleadings are to be exchanged. EC/MITHARC/1023/12 N. Mbangeni vs Minquina Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Filed of intention to defend. Further pleadings are to be exchanged. EC/MITHARC/1023/12 N. Mbangeni vs Minquina Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Filed of intention to defend. Further pleadings are to be exchanged. EC/MITHARC/1023/12 N. Mbangeni vs Minquina Municipality of Case No. 329/14 John Okyne vs Minquina Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Ple	Cases against the municipality		
Register of the High Court (File 14/16/8) Case 115207 Atlas Construction vs Municipality Claim for services rendered. No further action by the applicant, file closed until further action taken. (File 14/16/76) Case No Letter of demand A.K. Gigha vs Mnquma Municipality Claim for monies not paid after retirement. On trial and proceeding with evidence Case No 744/2012 Thozamile Kenneth Semekazi vs Mnquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Malter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No 803/2013- D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the Municipality for damages after his car was impounded by traffic Officer Ngalo. Filed notice on intention to defend, Plantifffs & Morneys are yet to furnish us with the local address for service of further pleadings. Case No. 8053/2012 Buying George vs Eskom &Mnquma Local Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plantiffs & Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 8254/2012: Bongle Maxam vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the municipality for unlawful arrest, detention and assault by traffic officers. Eled of intention to defend, Further pleadings are to be exchanged. EC/MTHA/RC/1023/12 N. Mbangeni vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. and address for service of pleadings Case No. 801/31 3. Thamsanage Mocathulaw vs Mnquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiffs immovable property. Eckhanging pleadings Case No. 801/31 3. Thamsanage Mocathulaw vs Mnquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiffs immovable property. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 801/31 3. Thamsanage Mocathulaw vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff simmovable property. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 10/2013 1. ELIZO Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Case N		202.020	202 020
Claim for services rendered. No further action by the applicant, file closed until turther action taken. (File 14/16/76) Case No Letter of demand A.K. Gqiba vs Minquma Municipality Claim for monies not paid after retirement. On trial and proceeding with evidence Case No. 744/2012 Thozamile Kenneth Semekazi vs Minquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No. 803/2013- D. Poncana vs Minquma Municipality Suing the Municipality for damages after his car was impounded by traffic Officer Ngalo. Filed notice on intention to defend. Plaintiffs Attorneys are yet to furnish us with he local address for service of further pleadings. Case No. RC 339/2012 Buylie George vs Eskom &Minquma Local Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiffs Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 2524/2012: Bonglie Maxam vs Minquma Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiffs Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 2524/2012: Bonglie Maxam vs Minquma Municipality Case No. 2524/2012: Bonglie Maxam vs Minquma Municipality Suing the municipality for Junthur Intenset, detention and sasault by traffic officers. Plaintiffs claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and detention. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 34/12 MC: Slyephu vs Minquma Municipality & Others Claim of damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial Case No. 39/31-3 Thamsanga Matatshulwa vs Minquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 129/314 John Clyne vs Minquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 129/314 John Clyne vs Minquma Local Mun	Register of the High Court (File 14/16/68)	292 920	292 920
Claim for monies not paid after retirement.On trial and proceeding with evidence Case No 744/2012 Thozamile Kenneth Semekazi vs Minquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No. 803/2013- D. Poncana vs Minquma Municipality Suing the Municipality for damages after his car was impounded by traffic Officer Ngalo. Filed notice on intention to defend. Plaintiffs Attorneys are yet to furnish us with the local address for service of further pleadings. Case No. RC 393/2012 Buylie George vs Eskom &Minquma Local Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiffs Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 262/40012: Bonglie Maxam vs Minquma Municipality Suing the municipality for unlawful arrest, detention and assault by traffic officers. Elied of intention to defend. Further pleadings are to be exchanged. EC/MITHA/RC/1023/12 N. Mbangeni vs Minquma Municipality & Others Plaintiffs claims is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and detention. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 90/13: Thamsanqa Mcatshulwa vs Minquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiffs immovable property. Exchanging pleadings. Case No. 90/13: Thamsanqa Mcatshulwa vs Minquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Coase No. 124/14 John Okyne vs Minquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Coase No. 124/14 John Okyne vs Minquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Coase No. 124/14 John Okyne vs Minquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Coase No. 124/15 John Okyne vs Minquma Local Municipality or Say 14/15 John Okyne vs Minquma Local Municipality or Say 14/15 John Okyne vs Minquma Local Municipality or Say 14/15 John Okyne	Claim for services rendered. No further action by the applicant, file closed until	238 572	238 572
Case No. 744/2012 Thozamile Kenneth Semekazi vs Mnquma Municipality Costs of the application made by the applicant. Matter was heard and postponed sine die. Case No. 803/2013- D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the Municipality for damages after his car was impounded by traffic Officer Ngalo. Filed notice on intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with the local address for service of further pleadings. Case No. RC 539/2012 Buylie George vs Eskom &Mnquma Local Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 2524/2012: Bonglie Maxam vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the municipality for unlawful arrest, detention and assault by traffic officers. ECMTHARG/1023/12 N. Mbangeni vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the municipality for unlawful arrest and detention. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 3472 McC: Sippethu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial Case No. 3472 McC: Sippethu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers Ready for trial Case No. 3472 McC: Sippethu vs Mnquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. Exchanging pleadings. Case No. 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 1289/12 Khayalethu Buso & Mzuxolile Mbiko vs Minister of Police & Constable Natukwana Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings. Case on the pleading stage. Case No. 1720/15: Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Nggamakwe. Postponed on the 5to and referred to an opposed foil. Case No. 1720/15: Exist Mshatsheli Mogcantsi v Mnquma Plaintiff issued			0.100
Sine die. Case No. 803/2013- D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the Municipality for damages after his car was impounded by traffic Officer Ngalo. Filed notice on intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with the local address for service of further pleadings. Case No. RC 539/2012 Buylie Geogre vs Eskom &Mnquma Local Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 2524/2012: Bongile Maxam vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the municipality for unlawful arrest, detention and assault by traffic officers. ECMTHARG/1023/12 N. Mbangen ivs Mnquma Municipality & Others Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and detention. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 84/12 MC: Siyephu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial 100 000 100 000 Case No. 90/13: Thamsanapa Mactshulwa vs Mnquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. 21 795 Exchanging pleadings. Case No. 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No. 1289/12 Khayalethu Buso & Mzuxolile Mbiko vs Minister of Police & Constable Nkukwana Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings. Case 100 000 100 000 Case No. 90/13: Tlamsanapa Mactshulwana vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No. 10/2015: Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Nggamakwe. Postponed on the 5to 000 150 0	Case No 744/2012 Thozamile Kenneth Semekazi vs Mnquma Municipality	-	9 100
Case No. 803/2013- D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the Municipality for damages after his car was impounded by traffic Officer Ngalo. Filed notice on intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with the local address for service of further pleadings. Case No. RC 539/2012 Buylle George vs Eskom &Mnquma Local Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 2524/2012: Bonglie Maxam vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the municipality for unlawful arrest, detention and assault by traffic officers. Filed of intention to defend. Further pleadings are to be exchanged. EC/MTHA/RC/1023/12 N. Mbangeni vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and detention. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 84/12 MC: Siyephu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial Case No. 90/13 : Thamsanqa Mcatshulwa vs Mnquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. Exchanging pleadings. Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 1289/12 Khayalethu Buso & Mzuxolile Mbiko vs Minister of Police & Constable Ntukwana Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the precedings. Case No: 1289/12 Khayalethu Buso & Mzuxolile Mbiko vs Minister of Police & Constable Ntukwana Case On: 239/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality - Case No. 1020/15: Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Ngqamakwe. Postponed on the 5th march 2015 and referred to an opposed roll. Case No. 70/2015: Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the re		85 000	85 000
Case No. RC 539/2012 Buyile George vs Eskom &Mnquma Local Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us with local address for service of pleadings Case No. 2524/2012: Bongile Maxam vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the municipality for unlawful arrest, detention and assault by traffic officers. Filed of intention to defend. Further pleadings are to be exchanged. EC/MTHA/RC/1023/12 N. Mbangeni vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and detention. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 84/12 MC: Siyephu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for dramages as a result of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. Exchanging pleadings. Case No. 30/13: Thamsanqa Mcatshulwa vs Mnquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. Exchanging pleadings. Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 1289/12 Khayalethu Buso & Mzuxoille Mbiko vs Minister of Police & Constable Nakuwana Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case on 100 000 100 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	Case No. 803/2013- D. Poncana vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the Municipality for damages after his car was impounded by traffic Officer Ngalo. Filed notice on intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys are yet to furnish us	18 000	18 000
Case No. 2524/2012 : Bongile Maxam vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the municipality for unlawful arrest, detention and assault by traffic officers. EC/MTHA/RC/1023/12 N. Mbangeni vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Pliaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and 300 000 detention. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 34/12 MC : Siyephu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. Case No. 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 1289/12 Khayalethu Buso & Mzuxolile Mbiko vs Minister of Police & Constable Nkukwana Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings. Case Case No. 10/2015 : Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Ngaamakwe. Postponed on the 150 000 5th march 2015 and referred to an opposed roll. Case No. R285/15: Ntshatsheli Nogcantsi v Mnquma This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the reviewal of the arbitration award. Matter is still at the pleading stage and the heards of argument are to be filed. Mziwoxolo Mgaguli v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 4338/16 - Heading Case No. 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. R2675: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case	Case No. RC 539/2012 Buyile George vs Eskom &Mnquma Local Municipality Claim for loss of support on behalf of the minor children of the deceased E.N. Joni and for funeral expenses. Filed notice of intention to defend. Plaintiff's Attorneys	240 655	240 655
Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and detention. Exchanging pleadings Case No. 84/12 MC: Siyephu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. Exchanging pleadings. Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 1289/12 Khayalethu Buso & Mzuxolile Mbiko vs Minister of Police & Constable Nkukwana Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings. Case 100 000 100 000 on the pleading stage. Case No. 10/2015: Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Ngqamakwe. Postponed on the 150 000 150 000 5th march 2015 and referred to an opposed roll. Case No. PR285/15: Ntshatsheli Nogcantsi v Mnquma This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the reviewal of the arbitration award. Matter is still at the pleading stage and the heards of argument are to be filled. Mziwoxolo Mgaguli v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 4338/16 - Heading Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. 1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. 1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. 1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage.	Case No. 2524/2012: Bongile Maxam vs Mnquma Municipality Suing the municipality for unlawful arrest, detention and assault by traffic officers. Filed of intention to defend. Further pleadings are to be exchanged.	250 000	250 000
Case No. 84/12 MC : Siyephū vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault of assault by traffic officers.Ready for trial Case No. 90/13 : Thamsanqa Mcatshulwa vs Mnquma Local Municipality Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. Exchanging pleadings. Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Case No: 1289/12 Khayalethu Buso & Mzuxolile Mbiko vs Minister of Police & Constable Nkukwana Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case on the pleading stage. Case No. 10/2015 : Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Ngqamakwe. Postponed on the 150 000 5th march 2015 and referred to an opposed roll. Case No. PR285/15: Ntshatsheli Nogcantsi v Mnquma This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the reviewal of the arbitration award. Matter is still at the pleading stage and the heards of argument are to be filed. Mziwoxolo Mgaguli v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 4338/16 - Heading Case No.3461/15: Zolile Mshumpela v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage.	Plaintiff's claim is for suffering resulting from unlawful arrest and	300 000	300 000
Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property. Exchanging pleadings. Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage 100 000 Case No: 1289/12 Khayalethu Buso & Mzuxolile Mbiko vs Minister of Police & Constable Nkukwana Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case a joinder application before the labour Court wherein	Case No. 84/12 MC : Siyephu vs Mnquma Municipality & Others Claim for damages as a result of assault by traffic officers. Ready for trial	100 000	100 000
Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage Constable Nkukwana Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case on the pleading stage. Case No. 10/2015: Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Ngqamakwe. Postponed on the 150 000 150 000 5th march 2015 and referred to an opposed roll. Case No.PR285/15: Ntshatsheli Nogcantsi v Mnquma This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the reviewal of the arbitration award. Matter is still at the pleading stage and the heards of argument are to be filed. Mziwoxolo Mgaguli v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 4338/16 - Heading Case No.3461/15: Zolile Mshumpela v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter sistill at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma	Claim against the municipality for damages to plaintiff's immovable property.	21 795	21 795
Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case on the pleading stage. Case No. 10/2015: Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Ngqamakwe. Postponed on the 150 000 150 000 5th march 2015 and referred to an opposed roll. Case No. PR285/15: Ntshatsheli Nogcantsi v Mnquma This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the reviewal of the arbitration award. Matter is still at the pleading stage and the heards of argument are to be filed. Mziwoxolo Mgaguli v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 4338/16 - Heading Case No.3461/15: Zolile Mshumpela v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and 58 216 - 58 216 - 58 216	Case No: 329/14 John Okyne vs Mnquma Local Municipality. Claim against the municipality for damages. Pleading stage	100 000	100 000
Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings.Case Case No. 10/2015: Lizo Mkhatshane and Others v Mnquma Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Ngqamakwe. Postponed on the 150 000 150 000 5th march 2015 and referred to an opposed roll. Case No.PR285/15: Ntshatsheli Nogcantsi v Mnquma This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the reviewal of the arbitration award. Matter is still at the pleading stage and the heards of argument are to be filed. Mziwoxolo Mgaguli v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 4338/16 - Heading Case No.3461/15: Zolile Mshumpela v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and 5000000000000000000000000000000000000			
Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Ngqamakwe. Postponed on the 5th march 2015 and referred to an opposed roll. Case No.PR285/15: Ntshatsheli Nogcantsi v Mnquma This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the reviewal of the arbitration award. Matter is still at the pleading stage and the heards of argument are to be filed. Mziwoxolo Mgaguli v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 4338/16 - Heading Case No.3461/15: Zolile Mshumpela v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and 1 008 000 1 008 000 detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter 360 000 360 000 is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and 1 064 000 1 064 000 detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and 5 1 064 000 1 064 000 detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 1042/15 - Claim for 58 216 -	Case of a joinder application joining the traffic office Buso on the proceedings. Case on the pleading stage.	100 000	100 000
This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the reviewal of the arbitration award. Matter is still at the pleading stage and the heards of argument are to be filed. Mziwoxolo Mgaguli v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 4338/16 - Heading Case No.3461/15: Zolile Mshumpela v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention.Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention.Matter 360 000 360 000 is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 1042/15 - Claim for 58 216 -	Urgent application by applicants for an interdict at Ngqamakwe. Postponed on the 5th march 2015 and referred to an opposed roll.	150 000	150 000
Heading Case No.3461/15: Zolile Mshumpela v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter and stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and and an analysis of the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and and analysis of the pleading stage. Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 1042/15 - Claim for 58 216 -	This a review application before the labour Court wherein the applicant seeks the reviewal of the arbitration award. Matter is still at the pleading stage and the heards of argument are to be filed.	1 005 453	-
Case No.3461/15: Zolile Mshumpela v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter 360 000 360 000 is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and 1 064 000 1 064 000 detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 1042/15 - Claim for 58 216 -			
Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Case No. RC 451/15: Tembela Van Der Berg v Mnquma Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter 360 000 360 000 is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and 1 064 000 1 064 000 detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 1042/15 - Claim for 58 216 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter 360 000 360 000 is still at the pleading stage. Case No.1406/15: Geoffery Whittal v Mnquma Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and 1 064 000 detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 1042/15 - Claim for 58 216 -	Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage.	1 008 000	1 008 000
Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage. Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 1042/15 - Claim for 58 216 -	Plaintiff issued summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and detention. Matter is still at the pleading stage.	360 000	360 000
Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 1042/15 - Claim for 58 216 -	Plaintiff issued combined summons claiming for alleged unlawful arrest and	1 064 000	1 064 000
	Sandiso Manxeba v Mnquma Local Municipality – Case No. 1042/15 - Claim for	58 216	-

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2017

Figures in Rand 2017 2016

Restated *

51. Contingencies (continued)

5 392 611 4 338 042

52. Prior period errors

2016 closing balances and 2017 opening balances

Statement of financial position	Previously reported	Adjustment	As restated	Reference
Infrastructure assets	51 195 765	5 985 462	57 181 227	1
Community assets	83 310 381	149 968	83 460 349	-
Work in progress	45 508 432	(3 436 488)) 42 071 944	2
Accumulated surplus	404 813 213	(185 590)	404 627 623	-
Trade payables	7 893 521	(2 698 943)	5 194 578	3
Accumulated depreciation -Infrastructure assets	749 919 163	378 657	7 750 297 820	-
Accumulated depreciation -Investment property	53 571 494	(193 067)	53 378 427	6

- 1. This project was completed on 31/03/2016 but was not transferred from the Work in progress register to Infrastructure assets as at 30/06/2016.
- 2. The access road was therefore incorrectly accounted for as WIP (at R3,436,487.77) at 30/06/2016 and was also not depreciated..
- 3. Further expenditure of R1,057,143.77 relating to this project was incurred during the 2016/17 financial year and R430,790.99 was still owing as at 30/06/2017.
- 4. This project was completed on 23/06/2016 and was correctly transferred from WIP to Infrastructure at R3,388 664 5.No deprecation was calculated for this road for 2015/16 financial year. Amount outstanding to the contractors and professional fees was not raised and capitalised..
- 6. Accounting for depreciation which was overstated in 2015/2016 by R193,066.94.I